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This report presents the calculation of the 2009 Maryland spring harvest of coastal migrant striped bass in Chesapeake Bay. The method used to estimate the spring trophy season harvest in Maryland was presented in detail in Jones (2003), Barker and Sharov (2004), and Sharov et al. (2005). Results of the 2009 calculations are summarized in Table 1. The specific steps used in the calculation are as follows:

## Estimation of harvest.

- Maryland charter boat logbook reports provided the census values of daily charter boat harvest (Table 1).
- NOAA MRFSS survey provided estimates of harvest for MD private/rental boats for Waves 2 and 3 (Table 1).
- VMRC provided the preliminary estimate of VA migratory striped bass harvest.

Harvest apportioned by time.

- The migrant harvest season overlaps parts of both Wave 2 and 3 of the MRFSS survey. Length distribution of the harvest is known to change over this time period, so total harvest was apportioned into 2-week intervals between April 18 and June 15.
- All Wave 2 landings occurred in the last 2 weeks of the wave.
- 2-week interval proportions for Wave 3 landings were developed as the proportions of the harvest registered in the Maryland charter boat logbook reports (Table 1).
- Total Maryland striped bass harvest per interval was calculated as charter boat harvest + private/rental harvest (Table 1).


## Harvest apportioned by length.

- Data from the Maryland DNR charter boat volunteer survey were used to develop the length frequency distribution of the Maryland charter boat catch for each 2-week interval (Table 2A). Data from the Maryland volunteer private angler survey were used to develop the length frequency distribution of the Maryland private angler catch and the Virginia catch (Table 2B). For the 2-week periods from May 1-15, May 16-31 and June $1-15$, the length frequency data provided by the Maryland volunteer private angler survey was supplemented with charter boat volunteer survey data due to small samples of fish reported.
- Harvest in each interval was distributed by the length frequency distribution for each 2week interval.
- The number of migrants harvested in Maryland during the spring trophy season was determined by applying length-specific migration probabilities. These probabilities were derived from the estimate of the number of striped bass tagged on the spawning grounds in Maryland that migrate to the Atlantic coast before December of the first year at large (Dorazio et al. 1994). The result was a migrant and resident harvest for each 2 -week interval, distributed among interval-specific length groups (Table 3).
- The total 2009 Maryland spring harvest of coastal migrant striped bass in Chesapeake Bay was calculated as the sum over all length groups and 2-week intervals.
- The preliminary estimate of the migrant harvest for Virginia's portion of Chesapeake Bay was provided by VMRC, based on mandatory reporting by recreational anglers and charter boat captains (115 fish).


## Results and Discussion.

The estimate of the 2009 Chesapeake Bay spring migrant harvest is 90,654 fish, which is the highest harvest on record (Table 4). The Maryland portion of the Chesapeake Bay migrant harvest is 90,539 migrants (Table 1). The Maryland charter boat migrant harvest is 12,740 fish. The Maryland recreational migrant harvest is 77,799 fish. The VMRC preliminary estimate of the spring 2009 migrant harvest in Virginia is 115 fish. Spring migrant harvest by length group is similar in each year from 2006 to 2009 (Figure 1).

The number of trips for striped bass in the Chesapeake Bay has slowly increased over time, but remains fairly consistent (Figure 2). The harvest of striped bass in the Chesapeake Bay more than doubled in 2009 according to MRFSS (Figure 3). This may have lead to the increase in migrant striped bass harvested in the 2009 trophy season. The harvest per trip of striped bass in the Chesapeake Bay has also increased according to MRFSS (Figure 4). The DNR Creel Survey Data also shows an increase in Harvest/Triip of striped bass in the Chesapeake Bay (Figure 4).
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Table 1.

Table 2A. Length distribution of the 2009 Maryland striped bass spring season harvest as voluntarily reported by charter boat captains, by 2-week intervals between April 18 and June 15. (Shaded areas represent no-take size groups.)

| Length Group | April 18-30 | May 1-15 | May 16-31 | June 1-15 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 0 | 3 | 146 | 133 | 282 |
| 19 | 0 | 4 | 238 | 213 | 455 |
| 20 | 0 | 3 | 207 | 172 | 382 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 149 | 296 |
| 22 | 0 | 2 | 135 | 117 | 254 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 80 | 173 |
| 24 | 0 | 4 | 106 | 86 | 196 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 42 | 93 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 57 | 109 |
| 27 | 0 | 4 | 43 | 31 | 78 |
| 28 | 34 | 39 | 35 | 33 | 141 |
| 29 | 82 | 73 | 41 | 15 | 211 |
| 30 | 106 | 94 | 43 | 40 | 283 |
| 31 | 159 | 127 | 36 | 14 | 336 |
| 32 | 242 | 154 | 41 | 18 | 455 |
| 33 | 267 | 216 | 21 | 2 | 506 |
| 34 | 353 | 254 | 28 | 9 | 644 |
| 35 | 340 | 260 | 16 | 2 | 618 |
| 36 | 476 | 331 | 16 | 4 | 827 |
| 37 | 285 | 254 | 11 | 1 | 551 |
| 38 | 300 | 250 | 12 | 4 | 566 |
| 39 | 227 | 166 | 4 | 1 | 398 |
| 40 | 177 | 128 | 7 | 1 | 313 |
| 41 | 100 | 83 | 2 | 0 | 185 |
| 42 | 64 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 130 |
| 43 | 28 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 44 | 47 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| 45 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 46 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| 47 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 48 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 49 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 50 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| n | 3311 | 2600 | 1533 | 1225 | 8669 |

Table 2B. Length distribution of the 2009 Maryland striped bass spring harvest as reported by private anglers in 2 week intervals between April 18 and June 15. Due to small sample sizes during May 1-15, May 16-31, and June 1-15, the length frequency distribution below was supplemented by voluntary reports from charter boat captains (not shown in table). Shaded areas represent no-take size groups.

| Length Group | April 18-30 | May 1-15 | May 16-31 | June 1-15 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 10 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 29 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 31 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 32 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| 33 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 34 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 35 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| 36 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| 37 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 38 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 39 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 40 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| 41 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 42 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 43 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| n | 91 | 34 | 36 | 41 | 202 |

Table 3. 2009 Maryland spring striped bass migrant harvest, distributed among 1 inch length groups (length as total length).

| Length group (inches) | Apr 18-30 |  |  | May 1-15 |  |  | May 16-31 |  |  | June 1-15 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Charter | Private | Total | Charter | Private | Total | Charter | Private | Total | Charter | Private | Total |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 20 | 2 | 12 | 14 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 23 | 3 | 17 | 20 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 29 | 34 | 4 | 22 | 26 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 32 | 38 | 4 | 23 | 27 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 70 | 7 | 42 | 49 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 46 | 54 | 5 | 33 | 38 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 75 | 88 | 12 | 67 | 79 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 99 | 116 | 10 | 60 | 70 |
| 28 | 10 | 68 | 78 | 14 | 82 | 96 | 21 | 119 | 140 | 17 | 93 | 110 |
| 29 | 37 | 103 | 140 | 41 | 234 | 275 | 37 | 219 | 256 | 11 | 63 | 74 |
| 30 | 69 | 0 | 69 | 76 | 436 | 512 | 56 | 325 | 381 | 43 | 244 | 287 |
| 31 | 143 | 206 | 349 | 142 | 818 | 960 | 65 | 368 | 433 | 21 | 118 | 139 |
| 32 | 285 | 1,616 | 1,901 | 226 | 1,303 | 1,529 | 96 | 575 | 671 | 36 | 199 | 235 |
| 33 | 388 | 3,324 | 3,712 | 391 | 2,244 | 2,635 | 61 | 347 | 408 | 5 | 27 | 32 |
| 34 | 600 | 5,438 | 6,038 | 537 | 3,065 | 3,602 | 95 | 540 | 635 | 26 | 144 | 170 |
| 35 | 645 | 5,202 | 5,847 | 614 | 3,501 | 4,115 | 61 | 366 | 427 | 6 | 36 | 42 |
| 36 | 972 | 6,534 | 7,507 | 841 | 4,834 | 5,675 | 65 | 394 | 459 | 14 | 77 | 91 |
| 37 | 611 | 3,919 | 4,530 | 678 | 3,896 | 4,574 | 47 | 268 | 315 | 4 | 20 | 24 |
| 38 | 663 | 4,042 | 4,705 | 688 | 3,925 | 4,613 | 53 | 301 | 354 | 15 | 83 | 98 |
| 39 | 512 | 2,576 | 3,088 | 466 | 2,668 | 3,134 | 18 | 102 | 120 | 4 | 21 | 25 |
| 40 | 404 | 2,608 | 3,012 | 364 | 2,090 | 2,454 | 32 | 207 | 239 | 4 | 21 | 25 |
| 41 | 230 | 526 | 756 | 238 | 1,377 | 1,615 | 9 | 52 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | 148 | 1,584 | 1,732 | 187 | 1,058 | 1,245 | 5 | 26 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | 65 | 0 | 65 | 95 | 588 | 683 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | 109 | 531 | 640 | 87 | 491 | 578 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | 16 | 532 | 548 | 20 | 115 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 17 | 98 | 115 | 5 | 26 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 82 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 49 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| n | 5,946 | 38,810 | 44,756 | 5,751 | 32,955 | 38,705 | 791 | 4,614 | 5,404 | 253 | 1,420 | 1,673 |


Comparison of Maryland's 2006 through 2009 spring striped bass migrant harvests, apportioned by length.

Figure 1.

Figure 2. Number of trips for striped bass in the Chesapeake Bay from 1992 to 2009 for for-hire and private/rental boats


Figure 4. Harvest per trip of striped bass in the Chesapeake Bay from 1992 to 2009 for for-hire and private/rental boats according


