Maryland Oyster Population Status Report 2016 Fall Survey



Mitchell Tarnowski And the Staff of the Shellfish Division and Cooperative Oxford Laboratory Maryland Department of Natural Resources DNR 17-582017-662 May 2017





Maryland Department of Natural Resources Fishing and Boating Services Tawes State Office Building, B-2 580 Taylor Avenue Annapolis, Maryland 21401 800-688-FINS • 410-260-8258 TTY users call via the MD Relay

> GENERAL INFORMATION 877-620-8DNR dnr.maryland.gov

The facilities and services of the Maryland Department of Natural Resources are available to all without regard to race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, age, national origin or physical or mental disability.

This document is available in alternative format upon request from a qualified individual with a disability.

CONTRIBUTORS

Editor

Shellfish Division Mitchell Tarnowski, Biologist **Technical Participants** Lead Scientist Shellfish Division Mitchell Tarnowski **Field Operations** Shellfish Division David White, Captain R/V Miss Kay Robert Bussell, Biologist Chris Brumwell, Mate R/V Miss Kay **Disease Diagnostics** *Cooperative Oxford Laboratory* Chris Dungan, Pathologist Carol McCollough, Pathologist & Histotechnician Laurinda Serafin, Managing Histologist Stuart Lehmann, Histotechnician Suzanne Tyler, Histotechnician Data Management Shellfish Division Jodi Baxter, Biologist Amy Larimer, Biologist Statistical Analyses Shellfish Division Dr. Mark Homer, Research Statistician Text Shellfish Division Mitchell Tarnowski Reviewers Fishing and Boating Services Dr. Mark Homer Carol B. McCollough Christopher Judy Christopher Dungan **Field Assistance** Maryland Department of Natural Resources

Mark Homer Christopher Judy Carol McCollough Eric Campbell Steven Schneider Amy Larimer Angel Willey **Rachel Pierce** Jeremy George James Dumhart Jodi Baxter Carrie Kennedy Gina Hunt Eric Weissberger Frank Marenghi Laurinda Serafin Alexei Sharov Joanne Throwe Potomac River Fisheries Commission Maryland Coastal Bays Program Amanda Poskaitis Ellen Cosby Chesapeake Biological Lab Kathryn Doering Matt Damiano **Oyster Recovery Partnership** Emily French Kate Cwiek Karis King

Cover: Sail dredging on a foggy morning in the Choptank River. (Photo: R. Bussell)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	<u>2</u>
INTRODUCTION	<u>7</u>
METHODS	<u>7</u>
RESULTS	
Freshwater Discharge Conditions	<u>10</u>
Spatfall Intensity	<u>11</u>
Oyster Diseases	<u>14</u>
Oyster Mortality	<u>18</u>
Biomass Index	<u>19</u>
Commercial Harvest	<u>20</u>
Oyster Sanctuaries	<u>21</u>
DISCUSSION	
Present Conditions and Trends	<u>22</u>
LITERATURE CITED	<u>24</u>
TABLES	<u>26</u>
APPENDIX 1: OYSTER HOST and OYSTER PATHOGENS	<u>53</u>
APPENDIX 2: GLOSSARY	<u>56</u>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Since 1939, the Maryland Department of Natural Resources and its predecessor agencies have monitored the State's oyster population by means of annual field surveys – one of the longest running programs of this kind in the world.

Integral to the Fall Oyster Survey are four types of indices intended to assess the status and trends in Maryland's oyster populations: the *Spatfall Intensity Index*, a measure of recruitment success and potential increase of the population obtained from a subset of 53 oyster bars; *Oyster Disease Indices*, which document disease infection levels as derived from a subset of 43 oyster bars; the *Total Observed Mortality Index*, an indicator of annual mortality rates of post-spat stage oysters calculated from the 43 oyster bar Disease Index subset; and the *Biomass Index*, which measures the number and weight of oysters from the 43 Disease Bar subset relative to the 1993 baseline.

The 2016 Fall Oyster Survey was conducted from 11 October to 28 November throughout the Maryland portion of the Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries, including the Potomac River. A total of 327 samples were collected from 272 oyster bars. Sites monitored included natural oyster bars, oyster seed production areas, seed and shell plantings, and sanctuaries. The sampling results indicated that although the spatfall index was above the long-term median for the second consecutive year, increasing disease and observed mortality levels associated with continued elevated salinities are cause for concern.

The Spatfall Intensity Index of 30.9 was almost 50% higher than the 32-year median value. Although slightly lower than the 2015 Index, this year's spatset was more widely distributed, with twice as many 2016 Index bars showing gains than losses. As in past years, the better spatset was observed from the Choptank region downbay, although a light spatset occurred as far north as the Eastern Bay region. No spat were found along the mid-Western Shore and upper part of the bay. The highest spatset (586 spat/bu) was observed on Susquehanna bar in the Little Choptank River where Florida fossil shell had been planted in 2014. In contrast to 2015, the strong recruitment event that occurred in the lower Potomac was not repeated in 2016, but a rare, light spatset was observed in the middle and upper reaches of the oyster growing areas in that river.

Dermo disease remained widely distributed throughout the oyster-growing waters of Maryland. Oysters at all of the standard disease monitoring sites were infected with *Perkinsus marinus*, the parasite which causes dermo disease. The mean prevalence increased slightly from the previous year but continued to be below the long-term average, extending a trend that began in 2003. The mean infection intensity for dermo disease rose above the long-term average for the first time since 2007. The number of oyster populations with elevated intensities increased threefold from 2015, especially on bars from the Choptank River and south. MSX disease had an upsurge in prevalence for the third consecutive year, substantially increasing on several bars in the Choptank River and lower Western Shore, and maintained its expanded range upbay, reaching as far north as the Eastern Bay and the Miles River.

Despite an uptick in oyster mortalities, the Maryland-wide Mortality Index of 16% remained below the 32year mean. However, it has steadily risen over the past three years to double that of 2013. Mortalities were highly variable among bars within some of the regions (e.g. within the St. Marys River, observed mortalities ranged from 14% to 64%). The highest regional mortalities were on the north shore of the lower Potomac, averaging 36%. The highest Index bar mortalities were observed on Cook Point in the lower Choptank River (48%) and Ragged Point in the Little Choptank River (45%).

The 2016 Maryland Oyster Biomass Index continued to slide from the record high of 2013. The 2016 Biomass Index of 1.41 ranked it tied for sixth highest in the 24-year time series, reflecting the declining numbers of the strong 2010 and 2012 year classes and mediocre spatsets in many of the regions since then.

The major oyster sanctuaries were sampled during the 2016 Fall Survey. Recruitment was generally consistent with non-sanctuary areas except in the Manokin and Little Choptank sanctuaries, which averaged among the highest regional spatsets in the bay. Rabbit Island in the Harris Creek sanctuary had the highest spatset of the entire Choptank region. Harris Creek Sanctuary had a similar spatset average to

neighboring Broad Creek, an open harvest area. For the first time in three years of testing for it there, MSX disease was found in the Harris Creek sanctuary at a low prevalence but was not detected in the Tred Avon River sanctuary. Mortality rates in sanctuaries continued to be well below the long-term average, including in the Manokin River sanctuary at 12.5%, despite anecdotal reports of high oyster mortalities there. Overall, those sanctuaries that received strong spatfalls in 2010 and 2012 and those receiving supplemental oyster seed plantings appeared to be in good condition.

With reported harvests of 384,000 bushels during the 2015-16 season, commercial oyster landings were slightly lower than the previous year, yet the dockside value of \$14.9 million was the second highest since 1987. Power dredging accounted for 32% of the landings, primarily from the Lower Eastern Shore and Choptank regions. Patent tongs were the second dominant gear type, harvesting 27% of the landings. Tangier Sound was the leading production area with 24% of the Maryland landings, followed by Broad Creek with 18%.



Staff processing a sample in Tangier Sound, December 2016. (Photo: R. Bussell)



Figure 1a. 2016 Maryland Fall Oyster Survey station locations, all bar types (standard, Key, Disease, seed) included.



Figure 1b. Maryland Fall Oyster Survey Key Bar locations included in determining the annual Spatfall Intensity Index.



Figure 1c. Maryland Fall Oyster Survey standard Disease Bar monitoring location and additional 2016 disease sample stations.

INTRODUCTION

Since 1939, a succession of Maryland state agencies has conducted annual dredge-based surveys of oyster bars. These oyster population assessments have provided biologists and managers with information on spatfall intensity, observed mortality, and more recently on parasitic infections in Maryland's Chesapeake Bay. The long-term nature of the data set is a unique and valuable aspect of the survey that gives a historical perspective and reveals trends in the oyster population. Monitored sites have included natural oyster bars, seed production and planting areas, dredged and fresh shell plantings, and sanctuaries.

Since this survey began, several changes and additions have been made to develop structured indices and statistical frameworks while preserving the continuity of the longterm data set. In 1975, 53 sites and their alternates, referred to as the historical "Key Bar" set, were fixed to form the basis of an annual spatfall intensity index (Krantz and Webster 1980). These sites were selected to provide both adequate geographic coverage and continuity with data going back to 1939. An oyster parasite diagnosis component was added in 1958, and in 1990 a 43-bar subset (Disease Bar set) was established for obtaining standardized parasite prevalence and intensity data. Thirty-one of the Disease Bars are among the 53 spatfall index oyster bars (Key Bars).

Collaborative Studies and Outreach Throughout the years, the Fall Survey has been a source of collaborative research opportunities for scientists and students within and outside of the Department of Natural Resources. In 2016, Fall Survey data were provided to University of Maryland researchers working on a National Science Foundation grant in the Choptank River region. A University of Maryland graduate student is looking into refining mortality estimates from the Fall Survey data. The Survey continues to assist with an innovative pilot fishery program, examining triploid oyster plantings on Ragged Point for the Potomac River Fisheries Commission. Data from the Fall Survey continue to be used extensively by the multi-partner Oyster Restoration Project.

METHODS

Field Collection

The 2016 Annual Fall Oyster Survey was conducted by Shellfish Division staff of the Maryland Department of Natural Resources Fisheries Service from 11 October to 28 November. A total of 327 samples was collected during surveys on 272 natural oyster bars (Figure 1a), including Key Bar (Figure 1b) and Disease Bar (Figure 1c) fixed sentinel sites as well as sanctuaries, contemporary seed oyster planting sites, shell planting locations, and seed production areas.

A 32-inch-wide oyster dredge was used to obtain the samples. The number of samples collected varied with the type of site. Sample volumes were measured in Maryland bushels (bu) (Appendix 2). At each of the 53 Key Bar sites and the 43 Disease Bars, two 0.5-bu subsamples were collected from replicate dredge tows. On seed production areas, five 0.2-bu subsamples were taken from replicate dredge tows. At all other sites, one 0.5-bu subsample was collected. A list of data categories recorded from each sample appears in Table 1. Oyster counts were reported as numbers per Maryland bushel. Since 2005, tow distances have been recorded for all samples (providing the dredge was not full) using the odometer function of a global positioning system unit, and the total volumes of dredged material per tow were noted before the subsamples were removed. Photos illustrating the collection process can be viewed at:

http://dnr.maryland.gov/fisheries/Pages/she llfish-monitoring/sample.aspx

Fall Oyster Survey Indices

Integral to the Fall Oyster Survey are four categories of indices used to assess Maryland oyster populations: spatfall, disease, mortality, and biomass. The Spatfall Intensity Index is a measure of recruitment success and potential increase of the population obtained from an established subset of 53 oyster bars (Key Bars); it is the arithmetic mean of spat/bushel counts from this subset. Disease levels are documented by oyster disease prevalence indices (dermo and MSX disease) and the Intensity Index (dermo disease only) as derived from a subset of 43 oyster bars; these indices were established in 1990. The Total Observed Mortality Index is an indicator of annual natural mortality level of post-spat stage oysters from the 43 oyster bar Disease Index subset, calculated as the number of dead oysters (boxes and gapers) divided by the sum of live and dead oysters (Appendix 2). Although keyed to the Disease Index subset established in 1990, the Total Observed Mortality Index also includes data from 1985-1989. The Biomass Index measures the number and estimates the weight of postspat oysters from the 43 Disease Bar subset relative to the 1993 survey year baseline.

The time series for the Spat Intensity, Diseases, and Mortality Indices are presented in Tables 2 - 5. The majority of Fall Survey data, including supplemental pathology data and disease indices, are entered into digital files. Fouling data and oyster condition are in paper files.

Oyster Disease Analyses

Representative samples of 30 oysters older than one year were taken at each of the 43 Disease Bar sites. Additional samples for disease diagnostics were collected from seed production areas, seed planting areas, sanctuaries, and other areas of special interest. Due to scarcities of oysters at two sampling sites (Holland Point, Flag Pond), smaller samples (n = 7, 17 respectively) were secured for disease assays. Oyster parasite diagnostic tests were performed by staff of the Cooperative Oxford Laboratory. Data reported for *Perkinsus marinus* (dermo disease) are from Ray's fluid thioglycollate medium (RFTM) assays of rectum tissues. Prior to 1999, less-sensitive hemolymph assays were performed. Data reported for *Haplosporidium nelsoni* (MSX disease) have been generated by histology since 1999. Before 1999, hemolymph cytology was universally performed, while histology samples were examined for *H. nelsoni* only from selected locations.

In this report, prevalence refers to the percentage of oysters in a sample that were infected, regardless of infection intensity. Infection intensity categorically ranks the relative abundance of pathogen cells in analyzed oyster tissues. Mean infection intensities are calculated for all oysters in a sample or larger group (e.g. Disease Bars set), including zeroes for uninfected oysters. A categorical infection intensity range from 0-7 is used to rank dermo disease intensities (Calvo et al. 1996). See Gieseker (2001) for details of parasite diagnostic techniques and calculations.

Biomass Index

Department of Natural Resources staff at the Cooperative Oxford Laboratory developed the size-weight relationships used in calculating the Biomass Index (Jordan et al. 2002). Oyster shells were measured in the longest dimension and the meats were removed, oven-dried, then weighed. Average dry-meat weights (dmw) were calculated for oysters in each 5-mm grouping used in the field measurements, and those standards have been used to calculate the annual Biomass Index from size-frequency data collected from Fall Survey field samples, as follows:

For each of the 43 disease monitoring stations, the number of small and market oysters (= post-spat or 1+ year classes) in each 5-mm size class was multiplied by the average dry-meat weight (dmw) for that size class to obtain the total weight for each size grouping (Eq. 1). These were summed to get the total dry-meat weight of a 1 bu sample (two 0.5 bu subsamples) from a disease monitoring bar (Eq. 2). The sum of dry-meat weights from the 43 disease monitoring stations, divided by 43, yielded an annual average biomass value from the previous year's survey (Eq. 3). These annual average biomass values were keyed to the biomass value for 1993. The Biomass Index was derived by dividing the year's average biomass value by the 1993 average biomass value (1993 Biomass Index = 1.0) (Eq. 4).

Note that the baseline data are from the 1993 Fall Survey. In previous years the Biomass Index year followed the year the data were actually collected e.g. the 1994 baseline Biomass Index was from the 1993 Fall Survey. To avoid the confusion this caused, in this report the Biomass Index refers to the year the data were collected (survey year) i.e. the 2012 Biomass Index is derived from the 2012 Fall Survey data.

Equations

For each monitoring station:

- (# post-spat oysters per size class) x (avg. dmw per size class) = total dmw per size class
- 2. \sum dmw per size class = total dmw per 1 bu station sample

For all monitoring stations:

- 3. $(\sum \text{dmw per1 bu station sample})/43 =$ annual average biomass value
- 4. (annual average biomass value)/(1993 average biomass value)
 = Biomass Index

Statistical Framework

To provide a statistical framework for some of the Annual Fall Survey data sets, a nonparametric treatment, Friedman's Two-Way Rank Sum Test, was used (Hollander and Wolfe 1973). This procedure, along with an associated multiple-range test, allowed among-year comparisons for several parameters. Additionally, mean rank data can be viewed as annual indices, thereby allowing temporal patterns to emerge. Friedman's Two-Way Rank Sum Test, an analog of the normal scores general Q statistic (Hájek and Šidák 1967), is an expansion of paired replicate tests (e.g.

Wilcoxon's Signed Rank Test or Fisher's Sign Test). Friedman's Test differs substantively from a Two-Way ANOVA, in that interactions between blocks and treatments are not allowed by the computational model (See Lehman 1963 for a more general model that allows such interactions). The lack of block-treatment interaction terms is crucial in the application of Friedman's Test to the various sets of Fall Survey oyster data, since it eliminates nuisance effects associated with intrinsic, site-specific characteristics. That is, since rankings are assigned across treatments (in this report - years), but rank summations are made along blocks (oyster bars), intrinsic differences among oyster bars are not an element in the test result. All Friedman's Test results in this report were evaluated at α = 0.05.

To quantify annual relationships, a distribution-free multiple comparison procedure, based on Friedman's Rank Sum Test, was used to produce the "tiers" discussed in this report. Each tier consists of a set of annual mean ranks that are statistically similar to one another. This procedure (McDonald and Thompson 1967) is relatively robust, very efficient, and, unlike many multiple comparison tests, allows the results to be interpreted as hypothesis tests. Multiple comparisons were evaluated using "yardsticks" developed from experimental error rates of $\alpha = 0.15$.

Harvest Records

Two data sources are used to estimate seasonal oyster harvests - dealer reports (also called Buy Tickets) and harvester reports. The volume of oysters in Maryland bushels caught each day by each license holder is reported to the Department of Natural Resources on both forms (Appendix 2). Dealer reports are submitted weekly by licensed dealers who buy oysters directly from harvesters on the day of catch. Reported on each buy ticket is the catch per day along with effort information, gear type, and location of catch. Both the dealer and the harvester must sign the buy ticket and include their license numbers. Each dealer is also responsible for paying a one dollar per bushel tax on each bushel purchased and an additional thirty-cent tax on each bushel exported out of state. Harvester reports are submitted monthly by each license holder authorized to catch oysters and include the catch each day along with effort information, gear type, and location of catch.

Buy ticket records are available from 1989 to present and harvester reports are available from 2009 to present. Although the area or river system was often recorded on buy tickets for much of the time series, the completeness of ovster bar- and gearspecific information is much more variable. Generally, harvester reports are more complete with regard to gear type and oyster bar name. Due to the longer time series available from the buy ticket record, this is the standard data source for long-term trends in harvest. For applications where gear or oyster bar name is considered critical, the harvester report data source is often used instead.

RESULTS FRESHWATER DISCHARGE CONDITIONS

Salinity is a key quantifiable factor influencing oyster reproduction and recruitment, disease, and mortality. Whereas salinity is a site-specific measurement which varies widely throughout the Maryland oyster grounds, freshwater flow, which influences salinity, provides a more synoptic view of baywide conditions and is therefore used as a surrogate for salinity.

According to the U.S. Geological Survey, 2016 was considered to be an average year for streamflow into the entire Chesapeake Bay (USGS 2017). However, streamflow into the Maryland portion of the Bay (Sec. "C" in Bue 1968) in 2016 averaged 77% of the 80-year mean and was actually lower than in 2015, which the USGS classified as a dry year. This marks the first time since the 1999-2002 drought that streamflows have been well below normal for two consecutive years, following three successive years of near average flows. Annual streamflows in eight of the past twelve years were within the normal range, in contrast to the sometimes extreme interannual variations in streamflow witnessed during the 1990s and early 2000s, including an extended drought from 1999 to 2002 followed by near-record high flows in 2003 and 2004 (Figure 2a).

Annual Streamflow Into Md. Chesapeake Bay



Figure 2a. Annual mean monthly freshwater flow into Chesapeake Bay, 1985-2016. USGS Section C: all Maryland tributaries and the Potomac River.

Following an average January, streamflows in February were extremely high, exceeding the 71-year mean for that month by 74%. (Figure 2b). In March, streamflows fell to 60% of the long-term average and were persistently lower for the remainder of the year; ten of twelve months had lower than mean freshwater input.



2016 Monthly Streamflow into Md. Chesapeake Bay

Figure 2b. Monthly average freshwater flow into Chesapeake Bay (Section C) during 2016, including the long-term monthly average.

Monthly surface salinities, as seen in the following two examples, reflect the influence of streamflow to varying degrees depending on location. As a consequence of the low freshwater flows, salinities were generally higher than average (Chesapeake Bay Program Data Hub). At CB4.2C, a midbay station off the mouth of the Choptank River, salinities over the year varied by as much as 8.2 ppt (Figure 2c). Salinities were near average until March, then plunged after the high February flows. They almost immediately bounced back up by over 4 ppt in April and remained above average through October (the last month data are available), when they peaked at 17.5 ppt. Over the 10-month period the average salinity was 13.5 ppt, compared with the long-term monthly average of 11.9 ppt for those months. One important point is the salinities were above 12 ppt for nine of the ten months, two of which had salinities over 15 ppt, which are critical minimum values for the spread and virulence of MSX disease. In the long term, the highest average salinity for this station is 15 ppt in October.

2016 Surface Salinity at CB4.2C - Mid-Bay





Further downbay, the mainstem station CB5.2 off Point No Point experienced somewhat lower intra-annual variation in salinities, ranging from 12.7 ppt in May to 18.0 ppt in October (Figure 2d). Again, there was a sharp dip in March but the April rebound was only about 1 ppt. Salinities remained above average through all ten months of available data. Over the 10-month period the average salinity was 15.6, compared with the long-term monthly average of 13.8 ppt for those months. Salinities were above the 12 ppt threshold during all ten months, and were above 15 ppt for six of those months.





Figure 2d. Monthly surface salinities during 2016 at Station EE3.2 in south Tangier Sound.

SPATFALL INTENSITY

The Spatfall Intensity Index, a measure of recruitment success and potential increase of the population, was 30.9 spat/bu or almost 50% higher than the 32-year median value. Although slightly lower than the 2015 index, spatset intensity actually increased over a wider area, with twice as many 2016 index bars showing gains than losses (Table 2). In contrast, over a third of the 2015 index was attributable to a single bar. As a result of these gains coupled with this broader distribution, the 2016 spat index ranked in the next higher statistical tier than the 2015 index (Figure 3a).



Figure 3a. Spatfall intensity (spat per bushel of cultch) on Maryland "Key Bars" for spat monitoring, including rankings of statistically similar indices.

Two of the previous five years (2010, 2012) have had strong year classes, which boosted the population and increased commercial landings; the average 2013 and poor 2014 spatfalls may have implications for population abundance, probably leading to declining harvests in the upcoming years until the 2015 and 2016 year classes enter the fishery (Figure 3b).



Figure 3b. Recent Maryland spatfall indices, 1998-2016.



Figure 4a. Oyster spatfall intensity and distribution in Maryland, 2015. Intensity ranges represent regional averages.

Spatfall intensity was more evenly distributed among the Key Bars in 2016, in contrast to the previous year. Spat were observed on 40 of the 53 Key Bars, the same as in 2015 (Table 2). However, nine bars accounted for 53% of the index, compared with only three bars in 2015. In 2016, 15 bars contributed 75% of the spat index (nine bars in 2015), while 26 sites were needed to reach 95% of the spat index; the remaining 27 bars made up only 5% of the 2016 index. In other words, over half of the Index bars were unproductive. The highest Key Index spat count was 172 spat/bu on Drum Point in the Manokin River followed by Ragged Point in the Little Choptank River second (125 spat/bu) (Table 2). Two of the other top-six Key Bars for spat counts in 2016 were in the Lower Potomac region -Cornfield Harbor in the mouth of the Potomac and Pagan in the St. Marys River. Deep Neck in Broad Creek was tied with Pagan, followed closely by Butler along the St. Marys shore of the Bay.

When considering all bars surveyed in addition to the Key Bars, the better spatset was observed downbay from the Choptank region, primarily in the mainstem of the bay as well as the Little Choptank and Manokin rivers (Figure 4). This distribution was somewhat unusual in that the mainstem outperformed Tangier and Pocomoke sounds and most of their surrounding tributaries, which are usually more productive. A light spatset occurred as far north as the Eastern Bay region (note however that none of the index bars from this region had spat), but no spat were found along the mid-Western Shore and upper part of the bay. The highest spatset (586 spat/bu) was observed on Susquehanna bar in the Little Choptank River where Florida fossil shell had been

planted. The strong recruitment event of 2015 that occurred in the lower Potomac (Tarnowski 2016) was not repeated in 2016, although a rare, light spatset was observed in the middle and upper reaches of the oyster growing areas in that river.

A final comment on the annual Spatfall Intensity Index: this index is an arithmetic mean that does not take into account geographic distribution, whereas the statistical tiers do. For example, the nearrecord high spatfall intensity in 1997 was actually limited in extent, being concentrated in the eastern portion of Eastern Bay, the northeast portion of the lower Choptank River, and to a lesser extent, in parts of the Little Choptank and St. Marys rivers (Homer & Scott 2001). Over 75% of the 1997 index was accounted for by only five of the 53 Key Bars, while ten contributed nearly 95% (Table 2). As a result, the 1997 spat index fell into the third statistical tier despite being the second highest index on record and an order of magnitude higher than other Tier 3 indexes. In contrast, the 1991 spatfall (the third highest on record) was far more widespread. Fifteen Key Bars comprised 75% of the index that year, while 28 sites were needed to attain 95% of the spatfall intensity index. placing it in the first statistical tier notwithstanding having a lower spatfall index than 1997. The imbalanced spatfall distribution in 2015 accounts for that index falling into the same statistical Tier 4 as the 2014 index, despite being three times as high (Figure 3a). Conversely, the statistical ranking of the 2016 spatset was above the 2015 ranking despite a lower Spatfall Index because of the higher numbers of spat on a greater number of bars in 2016.

OYSTER DISEASES

Dermo disease remained widely distributed throughout the oyster-growing waters of Maryland. Oysters at all of the standard disease monitoring sites were infected with Perkinsus marinus, the parasite which causes dermo disease. The average prevalence increased slightly from the previous year, but was still below the longterm average, continuing a favorable trend that began in 2003. Dermo disease mean intensity rose above the long-term average for the first time since 2007. The number of oyster bars with elevated intensities increased threefold from 2015, especially on bars from the Choptank River and south. MSX disease prevalence rose for the third consecutive year, markedly increasing on several bars in the Choptank River and lower Western Shore, and maintained its expanded range upbay, reaching as far north as the Eastern Bay and the Miles River.

Dermo disease was detected in oysters on 100% of the Disease Bars (Table 3). The overall mean infection prevalence in oysters sampled on the Disease Bars was 63%, comparable to 2015 (61%) and the highest since 2007, but considerably below the record-high 2002 mean prevalence of 94%, ranking 2016 in the second lowest statistical grouping (Figure 5). Thirteen of the past 14 years have had dermo disease mean prevalences below the 27-year average.



Dermo Disease Prevalence



Figure 6. Geographic extent and prevalence of dermo disease in Maryland, 2016.

The geographic distribution of high prevalences (>60%) expanded from the previous year to comprise large swaths of the Chesapeake Bay and most of its tributaries, including the mid-bay along the Eastern Shore; most of the lower bay; the Patuxent, lower Potomac, St. Marys and South rivers on the Western Shore; and all of the Eastern Shore tributaries from the upper Chester River southward, as well as Tangier and Pocomoke sounds (Figure 6). Interestingly, samples from southern Tangier Sound and Holland Straits had much lower prevalences than in 2015. Outside of the regular disease monitoring sites, dermo disease was not detected at Deep Shoal, the furthest up-bay bar examined for disease. In addition, oysters on Beacon bar in the upper reaches of the Potomac River oyster grounds have shown

Figure 5. Annual mean *P. marinus* prevalences and statistical groupings from Maryland disease monitoring bars.

persistently low levels of dermo disease (7% prevalence, 0.1 intensity) over the past five years, after the disease was undetected there in 2011.

The 2016 annual mean infection intensity of 2.5 (on a 0-7 scale) is the highest since 2002, having more than doubled over the past five years (Table 3). This is the first year since 2007 that the intensity index has exceeded the long-term average, and only the second such occurrence in the last 14 years. Consequently, the 2016 dermo disease intensity ranking rose to the third statistical grouping (of five tiers) (Figure 7). This is still relatively moderate in contrast to the record high mean intensity of 3.8 in 2001. The average intensity index over the fourteen years since the end of the 1999-2002 drought is 1.9, similar to another extended period of low to moderate dermo disease levels from 1994 to 1998 when annual mean infection intensities averaged 1.7. In comparison, the drought period of 1999-2002 had mean annual intensities that averaged 3.4.



Figure 7. Annual *P. marinus* infection intensities on a scale of 0-7 in oysters from Maryland disease monitoring bars. Rankings are based on statistically similar years.

The 2016 frequency distributions of sample mean infection intensities showed an increase in frequencies of the highest intensity range (Figure 8a). In 2016, samples from 12 bars (44%) had mean intensities of 3.0 or greater, a 57% increase from 2015, and those from nine bars (21%) were at or over 4.0, compared to two in 2015. For

perspective, during the peak infection intensity year of 2001, 81% of the dermo disease intensities were >3.0 and 51% were \geq 4.0. Of all bars sampled for disease analysis, the number of oyster populations with elevated intensities (\geq 3.5) increased nearly threefold since 2015 from seven to 20 (Jones Shore, the 21st, was not sampled in 2015), especially in the tributaries from the Miles and Wye rivers south (Figure 8b). Although most of oyster populations with elevated infection intensities were found on the Eastern Shore, Point Lookout (4.5) and Hog Island (4.3) on the lower Western Shore had among the highest values for individual bars. The highest mean intensity for all sampled bars was 4.8 at Northwest Middleground; Cook Point had the highest mean intensity (4.6) of the 43 Disease Index Bars (Table 3).



Figure 8a. *Perkinsus marinus* infection intensity ranges (percent frequency by range and year) in oysters from Maryland disease monitoring bars.

Infection intensities in individual oysters that are ≥ 5 on a 0–7 scale are considered lethal; such infection intensities were detected in 25.3% of oysters sampled in 2016, continuing an increasing trend from 2013 (14.8%) through 2014 (15.3%) and 2015 (17.8%).



Figure 8b. Range expansion of elevated mean dermo disease intensities (≥ 3.5 on a 0-7 scale) between 2015 and 2016.

MSX disease, resulting from the parasite *Haplosporidium nelsoni*, is another potentially devastating oyster disease. This parasite can cause rapid mortality in oysters and generally kills a wide range of year classes, including younger oysters, over a long seasonal period. When MSX disease coincides with elevated dermo disease intensities, mortality levels can be very high, as was seen in 2001 and 2002.

For the third consecutive year, MSX disease showed an increase in prevalence while maintaining its 2015 range upbay as far as Eastern Bay and the Miles River. This was the furthest north MSX disease has been detected since 2009 (Figure 9a). *Haplosporidium nelsoni* was detected in oysters from 24 (56%) of the Disease Bars, the same number as in 2015 and 2.5 times the frequency of 2014 (Table 4). In comparison, the parasite was found on 90% of the bars in 2002. The average percentage of oysters infected with MSX disease on the 43 disease monitoring bars was 11%, a nearly twentyfold increase over a three-year span from 2013 (Figure 10, <u>Table 4</u>).

MSX disease prevalences were highest in two distinct regions: the lower to middle Choptank River, where they ranged from 27% to 37% (Figure 9b), and the lower Western Shore from Hog Island at the mouth of the Patuxent River down around Point Lookout into the lower Potomac River, ranging from 17% at Point Lookout to 60% on Chickencock bar in the lower St. Marys River, a tributary of the Potomac (Figure 9c). Tangier Sound, which had the highest regional prevalence in 2015, mostly saw reductions in MSX prevalence in 2016 (Table 4).

The abatement of MSX disease in 2003-2004 due to two consecutive years of record freshwater flows into the Bay signified the end of the most severe H. nelsoni epizootic on record in Maryland waters. The 2002 epizootic set record high levels for both the frequency of affected disease monitoring bars (90%) and the mean annual prevalence within the oyster populations (28%), leaving in its wake observed oyster mortalities approaching 60% statewide. Since 1990, there have been four *H. nelsoni* epizootics: 1991-92, 1995, 1999-2002, and 2009, the first three associated with spikes in observed mortalities (Figure 10). The 2009 outbreak was accompanied by a modest mortality increase which was ameliorated by timely freshwater flows (Tarnowski 2011).



Figure 9a. Geographic expansion of MSX disease in Maryland waters between 2013 and 2016.



Figure 9b. Distribution and prevalence of MSX disease in the Choptank region. Blue dots indicate stations where MSX was not detected.



Figure 9c. Distribution and prevalence of MSX disease around St. Marys County. Blue dot indicates station where MSX was not detected.

All four of these epizootics coincided with dry years (Figure 2a). These were followed closely by periods of unusually high freshwater inputs into parts of Chesapeake Bay, which resulted in the purging of *H. nelsoni* infections from most Maryland oyster populations (Homer & Scott 2001; Tarnowski 2005, 2011). The current increase in *H. nelsoni* infections is associated with below normal streamflows since the latter portion of 2014.





Figure 10. Percentage of Maryland oysters with MSX disease compared to annual means for observed mortalities on the disease monitoring bars from 1990-2016.

OBSERVED MORTALITY

Although there was an uptick in oyster mortalities, the 2016 Mortality Index of 16% was below the 32-year mean, continuing a 13-year trend as a consequence of low to moderate disease pressure (Table 5). For the 43 disease monitoring bar subset, the average observed mortality of 13.8% over the last 13 years approaches the background mortality levels of 10% or less found prior to the mid-1980s disease epizootics (MDNR, unpubl. data). However, it has steadily risen over the past three years to double that of 2013. Despite the increase, the 2016 observed mortality on the Disease Bars remained in the second lowest statistical grouping over the 32-year period; the past six years were in the lowest or second lowest mortality tier (Figure 11).



Figure 11. Mean annual observed mortality, small and market oysters combined. Ranking tiers are based on statistically similar years.

This is a remarkable turnaround from 2002 when record-high disease levels devastated Maryland populations, resulting in a 58% observed mortality rate.

Mortalities were generally patchy, with large variations among bars within some of the regions. For example, within the St. Marys River observed mortalities ranged from 14% on Gravelly Run to 33% on Coppage and up to 64% on Langley Hollow (the highest mortality observed during the Survey on an individual bar with more than 50 oysters/bu). The north-south gradient in observed mortalities evident in most years was less apparent in 2016, with strikingly low average mortalities in lower Tangier and Pocomoke sounds (Figure 12). The highest regional mortalities were on the north shore of the lower Potomac River, averaging 41%. The highest Index-bar mortalities were observed on Cook Point in the lower Choptank River (48%) and Ragged Point in the Little Choptank River (45%).



Figure 12. Geographic distribution of total observed oyster mortalities (small and market oysters) in Maryland, 2016. Mortality ranges represent regional averages.

BIOMASS INDEX

The 2016 Maryland Oyster Biomass Index continued to slide from the record high of 2013 (Figure 13). The 2016 Biomass Index of 1.41 fell 20% from 2015, ranking it tied for sixth highest in the 24-year time series. This negative trend reflects the depletion of the strong 2010 and 2012 year classes and unexceptional spatsets in many of the regions since then.



Figure 13. Maryland oyster Biomass Index. The year 1993 represents the baseline index of (1).

The Biomass Index is a relative measure of how the oyster population is doing over time. It accounts for recruitment, individual growth, natural mortality, and harvesting in a single metric. In assessing the size of the population, the Biomass Index reflects both the abundance of oysters and their collective weight (another way of looking at how large they are). For example, when examining two groups of oysters with the same abundance, the group with the greater number of larger oysters would have the higher biomass.

The oyster population had been slow to recover since its nadir in 2002, the last year of the devastating four-year epizootic. The Biomass Index remained below one¹ for eight consecutive years despite low disease pressure and high oyster survivorship over this period. Spatfall during this timeframe was sufficient to maintain the population at this level but not increase it. It was not until the strong recruitment event in 2010 bolstered by another good spatset in 2012 that the population began to grow, as mirrored in the increase in the Biomass Index.

¹ The baseline (Biomass Index = 1) year of 1993 was chosen because it had the lowest harvest on record up to that point.

COMMERCIAL HARVEST

With reported harvests of 384,000 bushels during the 2015-16 season, commercial oyster landings were slightly lower than the previous year (<u>Table 6</u>, Figure 14a). Nevertheless, this was the third highest total since the 1998-99 harvest season and is 27% above the 31-yr average of 301,000 bu/yr. At an average reported price of \$39 per bushel, the dockside value of \$14.9 million was a decrease of \$2.2 million from the previous year but the second highest since 1987 (<u>Table 7a.</u>).

Commercial oyster landings over the past 15 years have followed a similar pattern as the Biomass Index. Prior to the 2012-13 season, the fishery struggled to rebound from the devastating oyster blight of 2002, with a record low of 26,000 bu taken in 2003-04. The sizeable harvest increases of the last four seasons, following the below-average landings of the previous eleven years, were due to the strong 2010 and 2012 year-classes and subsequent good survivorship, allowing a larger proportion of the cohorts to attain market size. This abundance of oysters led to an increase in the number of harvesters and fishing effort, resulting in higher landings.



Figure 14a. Maryland oyster landings over the most recent 23 seasons.

Taken in the longer historical context, the improved landings during the last four years remain only a fraction of the harvests before the disease epizootics of the mid-1980s (Figure 14b). Since then, diseases have severely impacted the Maryland oyster fishery – in over 150 years of harvest records, annual landings of less than 100,000 bushels have been reported in only five seasons, all within the past 23 years. This was a period of intense disease activity and elevated oyster mortalities (Tables 3-6). Nevertheless, the recent upticks in harvests are a welcome improvement from the record low landings of the previous decade.





The Tangier Sound/Lower Mainstem region, including the Nanticoke, Wicomico and Honga rivers, Pocomoke Sound and Fishing Bay, was again the dominant harvest area, accounting for 41% of the 2015-16 landings, although this region's share of landings declined from the previous season (Table 6). Outside of Tangier Sound proper, which contributed 24.1% of the landings, the highest percentage of the harvests (17.6%) came from Broad Creek, a tributary of the Choptank River with a much smaller area. The regions experiencing harvest increases or decreases were almost evenly split, but the losses in a given region were greater than the gains. The most substantial changes in Maryland landings between the 2014-15 and 2015-16 seasons were:

Upper Tangier Sound -increased 11,035 bushels (+14%) Wicomico River (east) -increased 6,374 bushels (+70%)
Fishing Bay

-decreased 18,859 bushels (-48%)

Pocomoke Sound

-decreased 8,001 bushels (-44%)

Honga River

-decreased 8,881 bushels (-40%)

Nanticoke River

-decreased 7,829 bushels (-52%)

Lower Bay

-decreased 8,013 bushels (-65%)

Middle Bay

-increased by 5,698 bushels (+ 78%)

The combined harvests in the Tangier Sound region decreased by 21,817 bushels or 12.1% from 2015 and 77,448 bushels (32.8%) from 2014. The heaviest losses from the previous year occurred in the lower Eastern Shore tributaries including Fishing Bay, Pocomoke Sound, and the Honga and Nanticoke rivers, as well as the lower bay, while Tangier Sound proper gained 13,644 bushels. Aside from Tangier Sound, Broad Creek, and the Patuxent River, harvesting increased in areas that have been less productive recently, including the eastern Wicomico and Big Annemessex rivers, Eastern Bay and the middle mainstem of the Bay. Although the upper Bay showed a modest gain this year, relatively speaking the northern portion of the mainstem and associated tributaries continued to perform poorly due to a lack of recruitment and repletion activity. For example, the combined percentage of landings from the upper Bay and Chester River, which in a couple of seasons in the 1990s and early 2000s accounted for over half of Maryland's total landings, was a mere 1.4% or 5,200 bu in 2015/16 (Table 6). The 31-year harvest average for these two regions was 36,000 bu/year, primarily sustained by numerous seed plantings over this period.

For the eighth consecutive season, power dredging was the predominant method of harvesting, accounting for 32% of the total landings, although a sharp decline from the previous year (<u>Table 7b</u>). The actual

landings from power dredging are less than half of those during the 2013-14 season (Table 7a). This activity was mainly in the Lower Eastern Shore and Choptank regions. Hand tonging increased to 21% of the total harvests, primarily from Broad Creek, though still well below 74% of the landings during the 1996-97 season. Patent tonging remained at 27% of the total, while sail dredging and diving had minor increases.

OYSTER SANCTUARIES

A total of 88 oyster bars within 33 sanctuaries were sampled during 2016 the Fall Survey (Table 8). Recruitment within sanctuaries generally but not always followed the same pattern as adjacent harvest areas. For example, Harris Creek sanctuary stations averaged 79 spat/bu., similar to the Broad Creek open harvest area, historically a higher recruitment tributary, which averaged 74 spat/bu. Likewise, in the Little Choptank River recruitment averaged 133 spat/bu outside the sanctuary and 148 spat /bu. inside the sanctuary. In contrast, there were strong differences in recruitment intensities between the open harvest area of Tangier Sound and adjacent Manokin sanctuary. The mean spatfall in the Manokin sanctuary averaged 175 spat/bu with a high count of 372 spat/bu on Mine Creek bar. This compares with an average of 46 spat/bu and high count of 264 spat/bu (Back Cove bar) in the open harvest area of Tangier Sound. The highest spatset on an individual bar for the entire 2016 survey (586 spat/bu) was observed on Susquehanna bar in the Little Choptank sanctuary where Florida fossil shell had been planted in 2014.

Dermo disease levels in most of the sanctuaries increased from those in 2015, as elsewhere (Table 3). Of the 13 Disease Bars within oyster sanctuaries, dermo disease prevalences increased at 10 bars and were above the 27-year bar averages at 12 bars; intensities increased at all but one bar and were above the 27-year average at 12 bars. Most of the intensity increases were fairly sizeable. MSX disease was detected at low prevalences at only three of the Disease Index Bars within sanctuaries compared with five in 2015 (Table 4), as well as four non-Index bars in sanctuaries. Monitoring sites in the Little Choptank and the Tred Avon sanctuary/restoration areas showed no evidence of MSX. In the third restoration tributary, Harris Creek, MSX disease was detected at a low prevalence level (7% on Mill Point bar) for the first time since the establishment of this sanctuary. Though present at a low prevalence (3%) in 2015, MSX disease was not found in the 2016 sample from Broad Creek (Deep Neck), an open harvest tributary located between Harris Creek and the Tred Avon River.

Mortality rates for the most part continue to be well below the long-term averages (Table 5). Ten of the 13 Mortality Index bars within sanctuaries had observed mortalities below the 32-year individual bar average. Of the exceptions, Cook Point sanctuary experienced the highest observed mortalities (48%) of any of the Index sites. Despite anecdotal reports of high oyster mortalities in the Manokin River sanctuary, the average observed mortality there was only 12.5%, well below the long-term index mean.

Overall, oysters in sanctuaries that received strong spatfalls in 2010 and 2012 along with those receiving supplemental oyster seed plantings - including Harris Creek, Little Choptank, Manokin, and St. Marys sanctuaries - continued to do well.

DISCUSSION

Recent Trends and Present Conditions

By most measures, 2013 appeared to be a turning point for oysters in Maryland. Battered by devastating epizootics around the turn of the millennium and followed by a protracted recovery period, the oyster population finally was on the upswing. The Biomass Index was the highest since the index was established in 1990, boosted by strong recruitment events in 2010 and 2012 and accompanied by the lowest observed mortalities since the pre-epizootic years of the early 1980s. Dermo disease levels had remained below the long-term average for the tenth year out of the previous eleven and MSX disease was confined to two limited areas in the southern portion of the Bay. Landings during the 2012/13 season jumped two and a half-fold over the previous year, while the number of watermen purchasing surcharges to harvest oysters nearly doubled. This rebound was a welcome respite from the difficulties of the previous decade.

Not all the indices in 2013 were positive. The Spatset Index sat indifferently at the long-term median, but was not cause for concern since recruitment in Maryland has always been highly volatile on a year-toyear basis, exceeding the median about once every four years. Despite their extremely low levels, MSX disease prevalence and observed mortalities increased slightly, but well within the presumed range of sampling variability.

Oyster population indicators for 2014 were mixed. Landings during the 2013/14 harvest season surged to their highest in 15 years. The 2014 Biomass Index was close to the previous year's record-high index, as growth in the 2012 cohort balanced the removal of oysters by harvesting. Dermo disease levels fell somewhat, but MSX prevalences and observed mortalities increased for a second consecutive year. Recruitment was abysmally poor - the lowest since 2005 with the index at only half of the long-term median, raising concerns about near-future harvests (Tarnowski 2015).

Trends within the oyster population continued to deteriorate in 2015. Oyster harvests slipped somewhat, consistent with the decline in the Biomass Index. The Spatset Index appeared to be well above the long-term median, but only because most of that increase resulted from a single bar. In most other areas, recruitment was unremarkable, with the exception of the north shore of the lower Potomac River. which experienced its best spatset in a third of a century. Other indices were even less favorable. Dermo disease prevalence and intensity both rose to their highest levels since 2007. Most troubling, MSX disease prevalence rose sharply, tripling from the previous year and expanding its geographic range all the way upbay to the Eastern Bay region. In addition, the Observed Mortality Index continued to climb, albeit modestly. These negative changes were associated with lower streamflows, hence higher salinities. The United States Geologic Survey characterized 2015 as a dry year for the Bay, with freshwater inflows below the normal range.

The negative trends persisted into 2016 to varying extent. The Dermo Intensity Index rose above the long-term average for the first time in nine years and was its highest since the drought-related epizootic in 2002. Elevated intensities were found from Pocomoke Sound north to the Wye and Miles rivers. The geographic range of MSX disease remained similar in extent as the previous year, but prevalences increased on numerous bars. The annual mean prevalence climbed more than 50% from the previous year and has multiplied 20-fold over the past three years.

The Observed Mortality Index was deceptively muted, with the index increasing only slightly from the previous year and remaining below the long-term average. However, the mortality trend continued upward; the index has doubled over the last three years. Regional and individual bar mortalities reveal a more ominous picture. The increases in the observed mortalities were uneven, with notable hotspots in the Little Choptank, lower Choptank, and lower Potomac rivers. The average observed mortality for the lower Potomac region exceeded the long-term Maryland-wide mean by over 50%. Several bars had elevated observed mortalities as high as 60%.

The exception to these negative trends was recruitment, which has been above or close to the 32-year median in six of the last seven years. In comparison, during the recovery period of 2003-09, six of seven years were below the long-term median. Spatset improved in 2016 over the previous three years with gains on a number of Key index bars. Nevertheless, the 2016 index was approximately half of the robust 2010 and 2012 indices. Only about half of the 2016 index bars received meaningful spatsets; whether this is sufficient to stabilize the population and support a robust fishery in the next few years remains to be seen.

Maryland's Oysters at a Crossroad

Referring back to 2013 in light of current trends, did that year mark an actual turning point for oyster recovery, or was it an aberrant peak, with the population now slipping back towards levels of the postepizootic decade? Or is the recent backslide temporary, propelled by below-normal freshwater flows which elevated salinities?

Salinity is a key factor influencing oyster reproduction and recruitment, disease, and mortality (Tarnowski 2010). There is a delicate balance between enhanced recruitment and devastating disease. Both are favored by higher salinities, although in the case of recruitment adequate salinity is necessary but not always sufficient for a strong spatset. In terms of recent salinities, disease appears to be poised at the edge of a full-blown epizootic, with salinity values that are borderline above the mean. During 2016 they averaged slightly less than 2 ppt above normal at two reference stations, enough to allow MSX and dermo disease levels to increase along with some mortalities. Diseases can be anticipated to intensify with a probable increase in mortalities if freshwater inputs are further reduced by even a modest amount. On the other hand, a slight increase in streamflows would have the opposite effect, stabilizing

mortalities while allowing potential recruitment. At the extreme, a good slug of fresh water into the Bay would actually purge MSX from many upstream areas and reduce its virulence downbay. Likewise, the impacts from dermo disease would probably be reduced. Unfortunately, snowpack in the Susquehanna watershed was negligible this past winter so a potentially beneficial freshet did not occur - the 2017 streamflows were down in February and 41% below normal during March, typically a high-flow month. At this point, an extended period of heavy rains might provide relief from disease, but any impactful increase in freshwater inputs would almost certainly negate the possibility of a meaningful recruitment event. Only time - and weather - will determine which direction Maryland's oyster population will take. The ecological services and economic support to bayside communities our oysters provide are dependent on the outcome.

LITERATURE CITED

Bue, C.D. 1968. Monthly surface-water inflow to Chesapeake Bay: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, Arlington, Va., October 1968, 45 pp.

Calvo, G.W., R.J. Fagan, K.N. Greenhawk, G.F. Smith, and S.J. Jordan. 1996. Spatial distribution and intensity of *Perkinsus marinus* infections in oyster recovery areas in Maryland. J. Shellfish Res. 15: 381-389.

Chesapeake Bay Program Data Hub. CBP Water Quality Database (1984-present). http://www.chesapeakebay.net/data

Gieseker, C.M. 2001. Year 2000 Maryland Oyster Disease Status Report. MDNR, Cooperative Oxford Lab. FS-SCOL-01-1. Oxford, Md. 27 pp.

Hájek, J. and Z. Šidák. 1967. Theory of Rank Tests. Academic Press, New York.

Hollander, M. and D.A. Wolfe. 1973. Nonparametric Statistical Methods. John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y.

Homer, M. and R. Scott. 2001. Maryland Oyster Population Status Report. 1996-2000 Fall Surveys. Md. Dept. of Natural Resources, Annapolis, Md.

Jordan, S.J., K.N. Greenhawk, C.B. McCollough, J. Vanisko, and M.L. Homer. 2002. Oyster biomass, abundance, and harvest in northern Chesapeake Bay: Trends and forecasts. J. Shellfish Res. 21: 733-741.

Krantz, G.E. and D.W. Webster. 1980. Maryland Oyster Spat Survey – Fall 1979. Md. Sea Grant Prog. Tech. Rept. No. UM-SG-TS-80-01. College Park, Md.

Lehman, E.L. 1963. Asymptotically nonparametric inference in some linear models with one observation per cell. Ann. Math. Statist. 34: 1494-1506.

McDonald, B.J. and W.A. Thompson, Jr. 1967. Rank sum multiple comparisons in one- and two-way classifications. Biometrika. 54: 487-497.

Tarnowski, M. 2005. Maryland Oyster Population Status Report – 2003 and 2004 Fall Surveys. MDNR Publ. No. 17-1072005-62. Annapolis, Md. 33 pp. http://dnr.maryland.gov/fisheries/Pages/shell fish-monitoring/reports.aspx

Tarnowski, M. 2010. Maryland Oyster Population Status Report – 2009 Fall Survey. MDNR Publ. No. 17-8172010-471. Annapolis, Md. 43 pp. <u>http://dnr.maryland.gov/fisheries/Pages/shell</u> <u>fish-monitoring/reports.aspx</u>

Tarnowski, M. 2011. Maryland Oyster Population Status Report – 2010 Fall Survey. MDNR Publ. No. 17-7292011-517. Annapolis, Md. 47 pp. <u>http://dnr.maryland.gov/fisheries/Pages/shell</u> fish-monitoring/reports.aspx Tarnowski, M. 2015. Maryland Oyster Population Status Report – 2014 Fall Survey. MDNR Publ. No. 17-782015-769. Annapolis, Md. 68 pp. <u>http://dnr.maryland.gov/fisheries/Pages/shell</u> <u>fish-monitoring/reports.aspx</u> USGS. 2015. Estimated streamflow entering Chesapeake Bay above selected cross sections. United States Geological Survey Inflow Database.

http://md.water.usgs.gov/waterdata/chesinfl ow/



Diving for oysters in Eastern Bay, November 2016. (Photo: R. Bussell)

TABLES

Table 1. Listing of data recorded during the Annual Fall Dredge Survey.

Physical Parameters

-Latitude and longitude (deg., min., decmin.)

-Depth (ft.)

-Temperature (°C; surface at all stations, 1 ft. above bottom at Key & Disease Bars)

-Salinity (ppt; surface at all stations, 1 ft. above bottom at Key & Disease Bars)

-Tow distance (ft.) (2005-present)

Biological Parameters

-Total volume of material in dredge (Md. bu.) (2005-present)

-Counts of live and dead oysters by age/size classes (spat, smalls, markets) per Md. bushel of material

-Stage of oyster boxes (recent, old)

-Observed (estimated) average and range of shell heights of live and dead oysters by age/size classes (mm)

-Shell heights of oysters grouped into 5-mm intervals (Disease Bars, 1990-2009) or 1-mm intervals (Disease Bars and other locations totaling about 30% of all surveyed bars, 2010-present)

-Oyster condition index and meat quality

- -Type and relative index of fouling and other associated organisms
- -Type of sample and year of activity (e.g. 1997 seed planting, natural oyster bar, 1990 fresh shell planting, etc.)

The time series for the Spat Intensity, Disease, and Mortality Indices are presented in Tables 2 - 5. The majority of Fall Survey data, including supplemental disease results, are contained in digital files. Fouling data and oyster condition are in paper files.

Decion	Overen Den	Spatfall Intensity (Number per Bushel)									
Region	Oyster Bar	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990				
U D	Mountain Point	6	0	0	0	0	0				
Оррег Вау	Swan Point	4	0	2	2	0	0				
	Brick House	78	0	4	8	0	3				
	Hackett Point	0	4	0	0	0	0				
Middle Bay Lower Bay Chester River Eastern Bay Wye River Miles River Poplar I. Narrows Choptank River Harris Creek Broad Creek Tred Avon River Little Choptank R. Honga River Fishing Bay	Tolly Point	2	2	2	0	0	0				
Middle Bay	Three Sisters	10	2	8	0	0	0				
	Holland Point (S)	6	5	0	0	0	0				
	Stone Rock	136	20	0	50	22	37				
	Flag Pond (S)	52	144	128	0	0	4				
L orrige Dovi	Hog Island	116	32	58	29	4	7				
Lower Day	Butler	nd	197	142	16	2	24				
Chester River	Buoy Rock	16	0	6	0	0	1				
	Parsons Island	78	4	4	2	0	7				
Eastern Bay	Wild Ground	46	8	4	8	0	18				
	Hollicutt Noose	24	8	12	6	0	2				
Wye River	Bruffs Island (S)	82	0	0	2	0	2				
Miles Disses	Ash Craft	10	2	0	10	0	2				
whiles River	Turtle Back	382	40	12	52	6	11				
Poplar I. Narrows	Shell Hill	50	6	0	6	0	48				
	Sandy Hill (S)	74	16	2	0	0	28				
Choptank River	Royston	440	8	8	0	0	57				
-	Cook Point (S)	66	82	4	28	0	17				
	Eagle Pt./Mill Pt. (S)	258	92	2	6	6	18				
Harris Creek	Tilghman Wharf	156	28	38	4	4	109				
Broad Creek	Deep Neck	566	114	6	22	4	48				
Tred Avon River	Double Mills (S)	332	24	2	0	0	1				
	Ragged Point	134	82	34	112	0	65				
Little Choptank R.	Cason (S)	102	24	46	50	0	143				
U	Windmill	34	112	28	22	16	155				
Honga River	Norman Addition	56	214	38	17	34	82				
Eishing Davi	Goose Creek	34	97	16	18	4	4				
Fishing Bay	Clay Island	4	78	14	48	18	19				
	Wetipquin (S)	34	10	0	0	0	3				
Nanticoke River	Middleground	8	12	26	9	16	40				
	Evans	18	10	12	17	2	13				
Wicomico River	Mt. Vernon Wharf	nd	0	0	0	0	0				
Manalin Diara	Georges (S)	26	98	14	4	16	4				
Manokin River	Drum Point (S)	48	186	48	90	78	16				
	Sharkfin Shoal	18	44	22	24	2	16				
Tangian Sound	Turtle Egg Island	154	90	12	26	26	204				
Taliglet Soulid	Piney Island East	182	192	194	160	82	64				
	Great Rock	2	6	4	6	10	66				
Decemate Sound	Gunby	124	24	50	4	8	21				
Pocollioke Soulid	Marumsco	26	50	18	5	12	6				
Dotuvent Diver	Broome Island	15	0	0	0	0	3				
Patuxent River	Back of Island	42	0	8	4	4	15				
C4 Mamila Diaran	Chicken Cock	620	298	96	62	18	29				
St. Mary S Kiver	Pagan (S)	140	34	52	36	6	613				
Droton Dov	Black Walnut (S)	16	12	0	0	0	1				
Dreton Bay	Blue Sow (S)	55	40	0	0	0	1				
St. Clement Bay	Dukehart Channel	20	7	0	0	0	1				
Dotomes Diver	Ragged Point	69	35	4	0	0	2				
Potomac Kiver	Cornfield Harbor	383	908	362	28	14	36				
	Spat Index	103.8	66.1	29.1	18.7	7.8	39.0				

Table 2. Spatfall intensity (spat per bushel of cultch) from the 53 "Key" spat monitoring bars, 1985-2016. (S) = bar within an oyster sanctuary since 2010.

Oveter Per			Spatfall	Intensity (N	Number per	Bushel)		
Oyster Bar	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Mountain Point	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0
Swan Point	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Brick House	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Hackett Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tolly Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Three Sisters	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Holland Point (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stone Rock	355	9	4	4	16	0	18	0
Flag Pond (S)	330	0	8	0	10	0	7	0
Hog Island	169	0	0	0	17	0	5	2
Butler	617	3	2	1	7	1	8	0
Buoy Rock	0	0	0	0	6	0	8	0
Parsons Island	127	18	2	0	44	0	3375	3
Wild Ground	205	8	2	0	54	0	990	0
Hollicutt Noose	11	1	0	0	7	0	56	0
Bruffs Island (S)	12	8	0	0	15	0	741	4
Ash Craft	12	0	0	0	60	1	2248	0
Turtle Back	168	15	0	0	194	0	3368	5
Shell Hill	79	0	0	0	15	0	19	1
Sandy Hill (S)	179	2	0	0	4	0	55	0
Royston	595	20	10	0	10	0	289	0
Cook Point (S)	171	1	0	2	14	0	20	0
Eagle Pt./Mill Pt. (S)	387	4	15	0	62	0	168	2
Tilghman Wharf	719	10	59	4	64	0	472	0
Deep Neck	468	22	94	12	294	3	788	1
Double Mills (S)	129	0	13	0	15	0	40	0
Ragged Point	1036	53	9	1	25	0	106	0
Cason (S)	1839	43	37	28	48	5	228	4
Windmill	740	46	22	19	13	2	5	1
Norman Addition	1159	53	33	17	25	0	8	0
Goose Creek	153	41	43	27	3	0	5	0
Clay Island	256	46	58	31	11	1	20	2
Wetipquin (S)	3	6	1	4	1	0	0	10
Middleground	107	63	14	28	2	6	27	0
Evans	20	27	6	30	3	1	5	0
Mt. Vernon Wharf	15	0	18	0	3	0	0	1
Georges (S)	52	42	19	9	5	0	8	6
Drum Point (S)	140	185	45	13	14	10	16	11
Sharkfin Shoal	43	97	18	11	6	0	7	0
Turtle Egg Island	289	591	37	31	6	35	70	3
Piney Island East	429	329	22	25	23	25	45	16
Great Rock	208	44	27	11	3	7	0	1
Gunby	302	149	68	7	5	9	0	24
Marumsco	142	34	60	5	6	0	0	57
Broome Island	8	0	0	0	58	0	0	1
Back of Island	49	5	0	1	17	0	3	0
Chicken Cock	182	5	45	4	78	2	36	10
Pagan (S)	190	62	15	7	54	0	1390	6
Black Walnut (S)	6	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
Blue Sow (S)	22	0	1	0	7	0	0	0
Dukehart Channel	19	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Ragged Point	26	0	2	0	19	0	2	0
Cornfield Harbor	212	2	29	0	49	0	4	11
Spat Index	233.6	38.6	16.0	6.3	26.8	2.0	276.7	3.5

Table 2 - Spat (continued).

Oustor Por	Spatfall Intensity (Number per Bushel)												
Oyster Dar	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006					
Mountain Point	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0					
Swan Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Brick House	1	1	3	97	0	0	0	0					
Hackett Point	0	1	0	13	0	0	0	0					
Tolly Point	2	2	1	10	0	0	0	0					
Three Sisters	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0					
Holland Point (S)	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0					
Stone Rock	3	34	2	17	1	0	0	3					
Flag Pond (S)	1	5	5	7	0	0	0	4					
Hog Island	6	1	28	10	5	1	6	1					
Butler	6	1	27	33	3	0	3	7					
Buoy Rock	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	0					
Parsons Island	6	6	6	5	2	0	3	0					
Wild Ground	2	5	5	6	4	0	1	0					
Hollicutt Noose	6	2	1	15	3	0	0	0					
Bruffs Island (S)	5	9	6	0	4	0	0	0					
Ash Craft	14	2	10	0	8	0	0	0					
Turtle Back	13	4	45	9	72	1	5	0					
Shell Hill	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Sandy Hill (S)	4	0	1	1	0	2	0	5					
Royston	39	0	3	10	0	14	0	44					
Cook Point (S)	1	5	5	3	1	4	0	9					
Eagle Pt /Mill Pt (S)	16	0	5	4	1	12	0	19					
Tilghman Wharf	49	1	1	4	0	15	0	22					
Deen Neck	211	3	11	31	1	167	0	30					
Double Mills (S)	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	3					
Ragged Point	43	3	5	0	1	2	0	6					
Cason (S)	53	5	2	9	1	5	1	93					
Windmill	37	0	21	9	0	0	0	21					
Norman Addition	31	1	30	33	2	0	6	80					
Goose Creek	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	73					
Clay Island	5	4	8	16	0	0	0	139					
Wetinguin (S)	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	6					
Middleground	9	1	0	14	0	0	1	54					
Evans	1	0	0	12	0	1	0	13					
Mt Vernon Wharf	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Georges (S)	50	6	1	280	15	4	5	75					
Drum Point (S)	157	27	44	124	13	8	40	202					
Sharkfin Shoal	9	5	0	57	0	2	4	63					
Turtle Egg Island	180	33	33	207	25	7	90	181					
Piney Island East	118	28	167	127	1	2.7	116	420					
Great Rock	82	6	140	1	3	19	28	92					
Gunby	54	32	6	108	0	29	20	36					
Marumsco	27	27	4	89	0	14	11	22					
Broome Island	7	0	1	15	1	0	3	4					
Back of Island	22	9	44	27	11	0	0	1					
Chicken Cock	132	16	12	151	56	2	2	6					
Pagan (S)	95	42	117	535	9	6	10	125					
Black Walnut (S)	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0					
Blue Sow (S)	11	0	2	4	1	0	0	0					
Dukehart Channel	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1					
Ragged Point	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1					
Comfield Harbor	25	5	35	31	9	0	8	6					
Spat Index	29.1	6.4	15.9	40.3	4.8	6.5	6.9	35.2					

Table 2 - Spat (continued).

Oustor Por	Spatfall Intensity (Number per Bushel)												
Oyster Dar	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014					
Mountain Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Swan Point	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0					
Brick House	0	0	6	4	1	7	0	0					
Hackett Point	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1					
Tolly Point	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0					
Three Sisters	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0					
Holland Point (S)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0					
Stone Rock	0	1	4	22	1	46	2	1					
Flag Pond (S)	0	0	0	15	4	8	2	6					
Hog Island	1	1	4	4	8	42	11	3					
Butler	1	8	1	15	3	7	0	14					
Buov Rock	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0					
Parsons Island	0	0	8	2	0	13	0	1					
Wild Ground	0	1	1	3	0	7	0	2					
Hollicutt Noose	0	0	0	5	0	8	0	0					
Bruffs Island (S)	0	0	0	3	0	18	0	0					
Ash Craft	0	0	2	39	0	1	3	0					
Turtle Back	0	0	13	13	0	16	1	1					
Shell Hill	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0					
Sandy Hill (S)	3	1	5	5	0	6	1	1					
Royston	2	5	20	2.7	0	46	9	19					
Cook Point (S)	1	10	18	37	2	41	6	1					
Eagle Pt /Mill Pt (S)	0	2	17	44	0	29	4	1					
Tilghman Wharf	0	6	15	72	0	183	20	46					
Deep Neck	1	23	100	144	1	331	14	9					
Double Mills (S)	1	3	11	4	0	5	2	1					
Ragged Point	0	2	12	33	0	14	5	2					
Cason (S)	0	13	9	50	0	65	14	4					
Windmill	4	79	7	85	12	88	114	19					
Norman Addition	0	102	6	155	27	138	145	38					
Goose Creek	0	35	20	75	83	98	128	8					
Clay Island	1	94	29	342	26	103	56	6					
Wetinguin (S)	0	2	2	8	4	8	5	22					
Middleground	0	21	6	92	23	78	59	7					
Evans	0	14	9	27	10	98	3	1					
Mt. Vernon Wharf	0	0	8	2	4	16	0	9					
Georges (S)	5	28	22	753	243	133	117	35					
Drum Point (S)	56	124	34	524	248	219	92	58					
Sharkfin Shoal	1	16	14	169	23	65	46	24					
Turtle Egg Island	7	32	17	202	23	153	47	24					
Piney Island East	44	23	0	160	109	199	6	14					
Great Rock	64	38	5	12	5	111	0	2					
Gunby	4	5	24	317	25	251	20	43					
Marumsco	14	12	24	261	44	81	43	19					
Broome Island	0	3	5	52	2	8	4	2					
Back of Island	2	7	8	47	7	70	6	3					
Chicken Cock	9	1	16	37	11	27	15	38					
Pagan (S)	616	0	321	227	110	325	196	64					
Black Walnut (S)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0					
Blue Sow (S)	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0					
Dukehart Channel	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0					
Ragged Point	2	1	2	0	1	0	0	2					
Comfield Herber		1	1	20	2	7	7	<u> </u>					
	15.0	12 5	155	20 70.0	3	/ 50.0	/	40					
Spat Index	15.9	13.5	15.7	78.0	20.1	59.9	42.1	11.5					

Table 2 - Spat (continued).

Ouster Per			Spatfa	ll Intensity (Number per Bushel)
Oyster Bar	2015	2016	32-Yr Avg	
Mountain Point	0	0	0.3	
Swan Point	0	0	0.4	
Brick House	0	0	6.8	
Hackett Point	0	0	0.8	
Tolly Point	0	2	0.8	
Three Sisters	0	0	0.8	
Holland Point (S)	0	0	0.5	
Stone Rock	2	17	25.8	
Flag Pond (S)	10	12	23.8	
Hog Island	9	22	18.8	
Butler	68	90	42.2	
Buoy Rock	0	0	1.4	
Parsons Island	8	0	116.4	
Wild Ground	15	0	43.6	
Hollicutt Noose	1	0	5.3	
Bruffs Island (S)	0	0	28.5	
Ash Craft	0	0	75.8	
Turtle Back	13	4	139.5	
Shell Hill	4	2	7.6	
Sandy Hill (S)	0	3	12.4	
Royston	21	13	53.4	
Cook Point (S)	1	21	17.8	
Eagle Pt./Mill Pt. (S)	34	68	39.9	
Tilghman Wharf	45	58	68.9	
Deep Neck	83	91	115.4	
Double Mills (S)	9	12	19.1	
Ragged Point	19	125	60.3	
Cason (S)	11	60	93.5	
Windmill	16	9	54.3	
Norman Addition	34	60	82.0	
Goose Creek	11	44	31.9	
Clay Island	43	68	48.3	
Wetipquin (S)	2	6	4.4	
Middleground	12	32	24.0	
Evans	14	18	12.0	
Mt. Vernon Wharf	1	3	2.6	
Georges (S)	29	61	67.7	
Drum Point (S)	59	172	96.9	
Sharkfin Shoal	57	53	28.6	
Turtle Egg Island	64	57	92.4	
Piney Island East	3	0	104.7	
Great Rock	13	4	31.9	
Gunby	95	73	60.7	
Marumsco	141	69	41.3	
Broome Island	6	21	6.8	
Back of Island	18	42	14.8	
Chicken Cock	712	33	86.3	
Pagan (S)	24	91	172.4	
Black Walnut (S)	3	4	1.7	
Blue Sow (S)	0	10	4.9	
Dukehart Channel	0	3	1.8	
Ragged Point	1	11	5.7	
Cornfield Harbor	100	92	76.5	
Spat Index	34.2	30.9	41.1	

Table 2 - Spat (continued).

Table 3. *Perkinsus marinus* prevalence and intensity (scale of 0-7) in oysters from the 43 disease monitoring bars, 1990-2016. NA = insufficient quantity of oysters for analytical sample. (S) = bar within an oyster sanctuary since 2010.

			Perk	insus ma	<i>rinus</i> Pr	nd Mean Intensity (I)					
Region	Oyster Bar	19	90	19	91	19	92	19	93	19	94
_		%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι
Upper Bay	Swan Point	7	0.1	27	0.7	23	0.4	37	0.8	3	0.1
	Hackett Point	0	0.0	27	0.8	57	1.2	97	3.2	23	0.5
Middle Bay	Holland Point (S)	20	0.5	47	1.1	80	2.4	93	3.0	36	1.1
Midule Day	Stone Rock	47	0.5	27	0.9	100	4.4	100	3.5	90	2.5
	Flag Pond (S)	30	0.8	97	2.6	97	5.7	88	2.7	30	0.8
Lower Bay	Hog Island	90	3.0	97	4.5	100	4.2	93	2.4	37	1.0
Lower Day	Butler	100	4.0	100	4.0	81	2.4	97	3.3	80	2.1
Chester River	Buoy Rock	23	0.5	80	2.5	97	2.8	93	3.3	10	0.3
Chester River	Old Field (S)	17	0.2	20	0.5	37	0.9	83	2.4	20	0.6
	Bugby	100	3.4	100	4.0	73	1.8	100	3.0	43	0.8
Eastern Bay	Parsons Island	20	0.5	97	3.6	80	2.1	100	3.3	93	3.1
	Hollicutt Noose	30	0.3	73	2.0	82	2.1	97	2.7	70	1.7
Wye River	Bruffs Island (S)	83	2.8	83	2.8	93	3.0	83	2.6	63	1.3
Miles River	Turtle Back	100	3.8	100	3.3	77	1.6	100	3.3	60	1.2
	Long Point (S)	73	2.3	94	4.3	86	3.0	77	2.6	60	2.0
	Cook Point (S)	17	0.2	23	0.3	87	3.7	97	4.2	90	3.0
	Royston	NA	NA	100	4.5	97	4.8	100	3.3	80	2.0
Choptank River	Lighthouse	90	2.3	100	4.0	100	4.6	93	3.2	47	1.2
	Sandy Hill (S)	100	5.0	100	5.7	100	4.2	100	3.8	83	2.3
	Oyster Shell Pt. (S)	3	0.1	60	1.7	100	3.9	93	2.8	10	0.3
Harris Creek	Tilghman Wharf	100	3.2	97	3.0	100	3.4	100	3.2	63	1.9
Broad Creek	Deep Neck	100	4.9	100	5.6	100	3.7	100	3.8	67	2.3
Tred Avon River	Double Mills (S)	97	3.6	100	4.9	100	4.1	100	3.8	90	2.0
Little Choptank R.	Cason (S)	100	3.4	100	4.4	90	2.6	93	2.8	83	2.2
	Ragged Point	100	4.8	100	4.6	100	5.0	100	3.9	87	2.3
Honga River	Norman Addition	100	4.2	100	3.4	83	2.0	96	3.6	93	3.3
Fishing Bay	Goose Creek	60	1.8	100	3.1	100	3.6	87	2.1	53	1.1
Nanticoke River	Wilson Shoals (S)	93	2.9	100	2.8	90	2.5	83	1.6	40	0.9
Manokin River	Georges (S)	83	1.9	93	2.9	58	1.4	30	0.7	50	1.2
Holland Straits	Holland Straits	100	4.2	100	4.0	100	3.4	76	2.3	57	1.6
	Sharkfin Shoal	23	0.3	60	1.2	97	2.8	93	2.2	63	1.4
Tangier Sound	Back Cove	100	2.7	100	4.2	97	3.3	36	1.0	80	2.2
U	Piney Island East	93	2.7	97	3.1	87	2.7	83	2.2	87	3.1
D 1 C 1	Old Woman's Leg	57	1.1	100	4.5	100	4.0	82	2.0	73	2.1
Pocomoke Sound	Marumsco	97	3.5	93	3.3	60	1.3	8/	2.5	12	1.6
Patuxent River	Broome Island	97	3.4	100	2.8	63	1.5	8/	3.0	40	0.6
St. Mary's River	Chicken Cock	100	4.2	97	3.1	93	3.2	96	2.6	40	1.0
	Pagan (S)	93	3.3	97	2.3	100	3.0	93	2.1	10	0.3
Wicomico R. (west)	Lancaster Mille West	97	3.6	97	2.8	6/	1.4	6/	1.0	20	0.2
	Comfield Herber	13	0.2	80	2.0	90	2.9	03	1.8	20	0.2
Dotomoo Diyon	Confileia Hardor	97	3.4	83	2.3	100	3.8	93 50	2.9	10	1.9
Potomac River	Kagged Point	97	3.8	90	2.8	40	0.9	50	1.4	10	0.2
	Lower Cedar Point	40	0.7	10	0.3	23	0.6	/	0.1	/	0.1
	Annual Means	69	2.3	82	5.0	83	2.8	84	2.6	54	1.4
Frequency of	of Positive Bars (%)	9	8	1	JU	10	U U	10	JU	1	00

		Perkinsus marinus Prevalence (%) and Mean Intensity (I)												
Oyster Bar	19	95	19	96	19	97	19	98	19	99	20	00		
	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι		
Swan Point	20	0.2	0	0.0	3	0.1	43	1.2	97	3.4	80	1.2		
Hackett Point	90	2.5	30	0.7	43	1.3	43	1.1	97	3.3	97	3.7		
Holland Point (S)	87	2.9	47	1.4	37	1.1	37	0.9	93	2.8	87	3.4		
Stone Rock	87	2.2	93	2.7	90	2.3	100	3.5	100	4.0	93	3.6		
Flag Pond (S)	87	3.3	63	2.0	53	1.2	73	2.3	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Hog Island	93	2.7	43	1.2	47	1.3	97	3.2	93	5.5	83	3.9		
Butler	87	2.5	60	1.6	57	1.0	97	3.3	93	3.2	83	2.7		
Buoy Rock	67	1.7	13	0.4	7	0.7	33	0.9	93	3.0	97	3.5		
Old Field (S)	83	2.3	0	0.0	10	0.2	33	0.8	97	3.0	93	3.0		
Bugby	83	2.6	80	2.0	70	1.8	60	1.4	100	3.9	100	4.0		
Parsons Island	70	2.1	73	2.8	63	1.4	80	2.5	100	4.7	100	3.5		
Hollicutt Noose	90	2.8	60	1.4	50	1.0	83	2.5	90	3.0	100	4.1		
Bruffs Island (S)	73	2.1	67	1.4	17	0.2	57	1.6	100	3.7	97	3.2		
Turtle Back	100	2.8	83	2.1	83	1.8	50	1.6	100	4.3	97	3.1		
Long Point (S)	67	2.2	20	0.4	23	0.6	100	2.7	100	3.6	97	3.3		
Cook Point (S)	NA	NA	60	1.5	70	2.4	87	2.8	93	3.4	40	1.2		
Royston	63	2.0	50	1.1	67	1.5	90	2.5	97	3.5	97	4.7		
Lighthouse	90	3.3	77	1.8	57	1.5	43	1.5	87	2.3	100	3.4		
Sandy Hill (S)	89	3.4	30	0.7	60	1.3	40	1.0	97	3.4	87	3.6		
Oyster Shell Pt. (S)	68	1.8	13	0.2	50	0.9	20	0.3	83	2.3	73	2.2		
Tilghman Wharf	93	2.5	67	1.3	60	1.0	67	2.0	87	2.5	93	3.4		
Deep Neck	97	3.0	83	2.1	100	2.6	97	2.9	97	4.5	100	4.0		
Double Mills (S)	75	2.5	70	1.2	83	2.0	100	3.0	100	4.8	100	4.7		
Cason (S)	93	2.3	87	1.9	93	2.4	50	1.4	97	3.8	100	3.6		
Ragged Point	93	2.5	97	2.6	97	2.1	87	1.4	100	4.0	97	3.7		
Norman Addition	87	2.8	93	2.4	73	1.6	73	2.3	93	3.5	80	3.4		
Goose Creek	87	2.5	97	4.0	83	2.0	100	3.0	100	5.4	97	3.1		
Wilson Shoals (S)	63	1.1	83	1.8	80	1.9	70	1.6	100	4.3	70	2.1		
Georges (S)	87	2.8	93	2.0	93	2.2	83	2.4	93	3.5	80	2.3		
Holland Straits	93	3.1	83	2.0	67	1.8	57	1.2	80	2.5	30	0.9		
Sharkfin Shoal	90	3.0	97	2.1	93	2.6	80	2.7	100	4.3	80	2.3		
Back Cove	83	3.0	97	3.2	93	2.9	90	2.3	100	5.5	40	1.2		
Piney Island East	93	2.5	63	1.7	73	2.2	83	1.9	63	2.4	86	2.3		
Old Woman's Leg	100	4.2	80	2.3	57	1.3	90	3.2	87	3.9	70	1.7		
Marumsco	100	4.2	90	2.4	61	2.1	80	2.8	90	3.4	93	2.7		
Broome Island	43	1.0	17	0.4	83	2.1	83	3.0	100	4.6	93	4.0		
Chicken Cock	83	1.9	77	1.4	73	1.7	80	1.7	100	5.0	63	1.8		
Pagan (S)	93	2.2	82	1.4	86	1.7	73	1.7	97	3.4	68	1.6		
Lancaster	27	0.6	56	1.2	80	1.6	37	0.7	83	2.5	90	2.7		
Mills West	57	1.4	60	1.2	60	1.2	20	0.4	90	3.2	97	3.6		
Cornfield Harbor	93	2.5	87	2.0	83	1.8	83	2.0	97	3.9	80	2.1		
Ragged Point	33	0.8	7	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	0.5	13	0.7		
Lower Cedar Point	13	0.2	3	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	0.5		
Annual Means	78	2.3	61	1.5	62	1.5	67	1.9	90	3.5	81	2.9		
Bar Freq. (%)	1	00	9	5	9	5	9	5	9	8	1	00		

Table 3 - Dermo (continued).

			Perk	insus ma	rinus P	revalen	ce (%) a	nd Mea	n Intensi	ity (I)		
Oyster Bar	20	01	20	02	20	03	20	04	20	05	20	06
	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι
Swan Point	93	3.3	97	2.7	33	1.0	33	0.7	47	1.2	20	0.6
Hackett Point	97	3.4	100	3.3	33	1.1	30	0.8	13	0.4	70	1.3
Holland Point (S)	93	3.2	100	3.6	33	1.1	30	0.6	53	1.6	10	0.4
Stone Rock	83	2.8	100	2.3	77	2.4	10	0.2	50	1.3	77	1.9
Flag Pond (S)	NA	NA	37	0.5	0	0.0	3	0.03	13	0.3	43	0.9
Hog Island	93	3.4	87	2.9	53	2.3	53	1.4	93	3.4	93	4.4
Butler	80	2.4	80	1.4	10	0.3	7	0.1	30	1.1	40	1.2
Buoy Rock	93	3.5	100	2.6	97	3.7	50	1.5	77	2.4	63	1.8
Old Field (S)	100	3.3	97	2.5	80	2.5	33	0.7	57	1.1	63	1.4
Bugby	100	4.6	97	3.1	97	3.4	63	1.7	53	1.8	87	2.7
Parsons Island	100	4.5	100	4.4	90	3.3	93	2.8	87	2.6	87	2.1
Hollicutt Noose	100	4.8	100	3.6	80	2.7	40	1.5	40	1.0	83	2.9
Bruffs Island (S)	100	3.8	100	3.6	73	1.8	80	2.5	73	1.8	53	1.6
Turtle Back	100	4.2	100	4.7	100	3.6	80	2.8	100	3.3	97	3.8
Long Point (S)	100	4.2	100	3.1	97	2.8	97	3.2	90	2.7	80	2.1
Cook Point (S)	77	2.2	NA	NA	66	2.1	0	0.0	13	0.3	40	0.5
Royston	100	5.2	100	4.2	48	1.8	13	0.3	3	0.2	47	0.9
Lighthouse	100	3.3	100	4.6	20	0.6	43	1.2	27	0.6	30	0.4
Sandy Hill (S)	100	4.5	100	5.0	93	3.5	87	3.3	80	2.5	70	2.3
Oyster Shell Pt. (S)	100	3.6	100	3.0	43	1.0	43	0.8	17	0.3	30	1.1
Tilghman Wharf	100	3.5	90	3.2	87	2.4	43	0.8	0	0.0	50	0.7
Deep Neck	97	4.8	100	3.2	97	3.7	27	0.5	20	0.4	50	1.1
Double Mills (S)	100	5.5	97	2.9	53	1.7	53	2.1	53	1.6	40	1.1
Cason (S)	100	4.3	94	4.4	17	0.4	3	0.03	33	0.5	23	0.4
Ragged Point	100	4.3	100	3.5	43	1.0	13	0.2	10	0.3	23	0.4
Norman Addition	90	3.0	67	1.9	37	1.3	93	3.3	90	3.8	57	2.0
Goose Creek	100	4.1	93	4.0	57	2.0	77	2.0	63	2.2	8	0.3
Wilson Shoals (S)	100	4.0	100	3.6	83	2.3	97	2.3	90	3.0	93	3.7
Georges (S)	100	5.2	100	4.0	83	2.6	100	4.2	90	3.3	97	3.8
Holland Straits	43	1.4	50	1.1	40	0.7	70	1.7	83	3.0	83	2.1
Sharkfin Shoal	90	3.7	97	3.6	47	3.4	100	4.4	87	3.2	83	3.4
Back Cove	100	5.0	97	3.8	100	4.6	97	3.7	100	3.1	77	2.5
Piney Island East	60	1.5	100	3.1	100	3.9	100	3.9	100	3.7	80	3.4
Old Woman's Leg	100	5.0	100	3.7	100	4.4	93	3.7	80	2.4	57	1.8
Marumsco	100	5.0	97	4.1	90	2.3	87	2.8	93	3.3	67	2.8
Broome Island	100	4.8	97	3.8	47	1.3	47	1.4	37	0.9	77	2.5
Chicken Cock	93	3.6	100	2.9	23	0.7	40	0.9	87	3.5	90	3.4
Pagan (S)	100	4.6	93	4.0	60	1.3	83	2.3	83	2.9	80	3.1
Lancaster	100	4.5	97	2.7	50	1.5	37	0.9	57	1.5	73	2.2
Mills West	100	4.8	93	3.1	60	1.6	57	1.5	50	1.3	87	2.6
Cornfield Harbor	80	2.9	97	1.7	27	0.7	30	0.5	80	2.6	100	3.3
Ragged Point	33	0.5	93	2.6	24	0.7	9	0.1	37	0.9	0	0.0
Lower Cedar Point	90	2.3	97	2.5	13	0.5	17	0.4	13	0.2	10	0.1
Annual Means	93	3.8	94	3.2	60	2.0	53	1.6	57	1.8	60	1.9
Bar Freq. (%)	1	00	1	00	9	8	9	8	9	8	9	8

Table 3 - Dermo (continued).

		Perkinsus marinus Prevalence (%) and Mean Intensity (I)												
Oyster Bar	20	07	20	08	20	09	20	10	20)11	20)12		
-	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι		
Swan Point	17	0.4	20	0.6	23	0.4	3	0.1	7	0.1	3	0.03		
Hackett Point	87	2.9	80	2.7	73	1.9	63	1.3	33	1.0	33	0.8		
Holland Point (S)	33	0.6	23	0.8	33	0.8	13	0.4	17	0.4	0	0.0		
Stone Rock	93	3.5	47	1.3	30	0.9	53	1.2	17	0.4	57	2.0		
Flag Pond (S)	87	2.0	67	2.3	57	2.1	33	1.2	38	0.9	53	1.5		
Hog Island	80	3.1	50	2.0	67	2.7	70	2.0	40	1.0	77	2.2		
Butler	77	1.7	43	1.2	43	1.3	77	2.7	60	1.9	90	3.4		
Buoy Rock	80	3.2	70	2.2	64	1.5	65	2.2	20	0.5	10	0.3		
Old Field (S)	100	4.0	90	3.3	87	3.3	70	2.2	40	0.8	67	2.2		
Bugby	100	3.9	93	2.9	100	3.8	67	2.0	27	0.6	73	2.3		
Parsons Island	97	4.0	87	3.1	100	2.5	60	1.8	10	0.4	23	0.7		
Hollicutt Noose	87	3.0	93	3.3	43	1.4	53	1.4	20	0.9	13	0.3		
Bruffs Island (S)	100	3.8	93	3.0	83	2.6	73	1.6	47	1.1	33	0.9		
Turtle Back	100	4.4	100	4.1	97	2.9	73	1.8	23	0.6	50	0.9		
Long Point (S)	93	3.8	87	3.1	46	1.6	50	1.3	31	0.7	46	1.5		
Cook Point (S)	17	0.3	13	0.4	7	0.1	43	1.0	40	1.0	93	3.2		
Royston	23	0.7	17	0.4	27	0.7	3	0.1	13	0.4	27	0.8		
Lighthouse	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	0.1	10	0.1	0	0.0	13	0.2		
Sandy Hill (S)	87	2.5	17	0.5	13	0.2	30	0.7	40	1.5	80	2.5		
Oyster Shell Pt. (S)	27	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	0.1	0	0.0		
Tilghman Wharf	23	0.5	3	0.1	10	0.2	3	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Deep Neck	90	2.7	67	2.2	70	2.4	67	1.9	43	1.1	100	3.2		
Double Mills (S)	87	2.9	67	2.2	80	2.1	63	1.5	53	1.7	83	3.4		
Cason (S)	60	1.9	100	2.9	100	3.2	97	3.8	70	2.2	93	3.3		
Ragged Point	93	2.7	37	1.0	80	2.5	83	2.3	60	1.7	93	3.1		
Norman Addition	23	0.9	37	0.7	57	1.8	100	3.9	87	3.3	100	4.3		
Goose Creek	0	0.0	20	0.2	0	0.0	10	0.2	10	0.3	50	1.3		
Wilson Shoals (S)	93	2.7	80	2.3	87	2.9	80	1.9	62	2.0	97	4.1		
Georges (S)	83	3.8	57	2.2	57	1.6	73	2.4	50	1.2	100	3.9		
Holland Straits	80	3.0	50	2.0	47	1.5	70	2.2	37	1.4	83	3.0		
Sharkfin Shoal	70	1.9	70	1.7	90	3.6	97	3.6	90	3.3	100	4.2		
Back Cove	93	3.2	80	2.6	87	3.3	93	3.6	80	2.7	90	3.0		
Piney Island East	67	2.5	90	3.3	90	3.4	97	4.1	70	2.7	80	2.5		
Old Woman's Leg	73	2.2	90	2.8	97	4.7	70	3.0	47	1.9	77	2.7		
Marumsco	37	1.1	57	1.7	90	3.0	73	2.7	67	2.5	97	3.2		
Broome Island	97	3.6	93	2.5	100	4.2	90	3.3	67	2.3	87	3.0		
Chicken Cock	90	4.0	40	1.3	90	3.5	83	3.3	20	0.6	50	1.3		
Pagan (S)	90	2.5	57	1.8	93	2.7	97	3.9	53	2.0	87	2.8		
Lancaster	97	4.2	77	2.1	73	2.4	60	2.0	37	0.8	47	1.1		
Mills West	47	1.6	57	1.9	50	1.3	27	0.9	27	0.5	80	2.5		
Cornfield Harbor	97	3.5	73	2.6	87	3.7	83	2.5	40	1.3	83	3.0		
Ragged Point	0	0.0	8	0.1	0	0.0	4	0.1	0	0.0	3	0.03		
Lower Cedar Point	30	0.6	7	0.1	10	0.3	40	0.9	20	0.4	20	0.3		
Annual Means	68	2.3	56	1.8	59	2.0	57	1.8	38	1.2	59	2.0		
Bar Freq. (%)	9	3	9	5	9	3	9	8	9	3	9	3		

Table 3 - Dermo (continued).

	Perkinsus marinus Prevalence (%) and Mean Intensity (I)									
Oyster Bar	20	13	20	14	20	15	20	16	27-Y	r Avg
	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	Ι	%	I
Swan Point	27	0.4	3	0.0	33	0.3	3	0.0	29.7	0.7
Hackett Point	13	0.6	0	0.0	10	0.3	40	1.2	51.1	1.5
Holland Point (S)	5	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	0.6	42.0	1.3
Stone Rock	67	2.0	100	4.0	93	4.5	97	4.4	73.3	2.4
Flag Pond (S)	23	0.8	10	0.3	18	0.5	50	1.8	47.9	1.5
Hog Island	27	0.9	43	1.2	87	3.0	97	4.3	73.4	2.6
Butler	70	2.4	73	2.4	60	2.0	37	1.5	67.1	2.1
Buoy Rock	27	0.6	13	0.4	17	0.2	20	0.7	54.8	1.8
Old Field (S)	57	1.5	47	1.5	57	1.7	63	2.1	59.3	1.8
Bugby	73	2.5	83	2.8	87	3.3	90	3.3	81.4	2.7
Parsons Island	30	0.9	15	0.4	53	1.3	77	2.2	73.5	2.5
Hollicutt Noose	13	0.4	23	0.6	33	0.7	50	1.5	62.8	2.0
Bruffs Island (S)	37	1.2	23	0.7	77	2.0	100	4.2	72.6	2.2
Turtle Back	63	2.2	80	2.5	100	4.2	83	3.5	85.0	2.9
Long Point (S)	37	1.2	10	0.4	20	0.5	73	2.6	68.7	2.3
Cook Point (S)	97	3.2	80	3.1	90	3.3	100	4.6	57.6	1.8
Royston	60	2.0	60	2.0	63	2.1	47	1.5	57.4	2.1
Lighthouse	10	0.3	10	0.3	23	0.5	10	0.4	47.8	1.6
Sandy Hill (S)	93	2.8	77	2.4	93	3.3	93	4.0	75.5	2.8
Oyster Shell Pt. (S)	7	0.2	3	0.0	40	1.0	80	2.6	39.5	1.1
Tilghman Wharf	10	0.2	7	0.1	20	0.6	47	1.5	52.6	1.5
Deep Neck	80	3.1	67	1.8	93	2.9	80	3.1	81.1	2.9
Double Mills (S)	83	3.1	73	2.6	70	2.9	87	3.6	79.9	2.8
Cason (S)	80	2.8	90	2.8	93	2.8	100	4.2	79.2	2.6
Ragged Point	97	3.0	83	2.3	100	3.2	93	4.0	80.2	2.6
Norman Addition	80	3.1	87	3.7	77	2.7	93	3.6	79.5	2.8
Goose Creek	80	2.6	83	2.5	100	3.4	93	4.3	67.0	2.2
Wilson Shoals (S)	93	3.0	90	3.4	80	2.8	90	3.2	84.7	2.6
Georges (S)	83	3.4	97	3.9	93	3.9	83	3.4	81.1	2.8
Holland Straits	90	3.7	80	3.6	83	3.0	13	0.3	68.3	2.3
Sharkfin Shoal	93	3.5	90	3.4	77	2.8	90	4.1	83.2	2.9
Back Cove	93	3.9	80	3.1	77	3.2	30	0.9	84.8	3.2
Piney Island East	63	2.0	40	1.4	53	1.8	60	2.4	79.9	2.7
Old Woman's Leg	52	1.3	60	2.6	67	2.1	11	0.2	76.7	2.9
Marumsco	100	4.4	80	3.5	90	3.6	93	3.7	83.0	2.9
Broome Island	93	3.2	70	1.9	80	2.6	90	3.8	77.0	2.6
Chicken Cock	50	1.2	67	1.9	67	2.1	73	2.4	72.8	2.4
Pagan (S)	77	2.4	83	2.1	83	2.9	83	3.1	81.3	2.5
Lancaster	30	1.2	20	0.8	3	0.2	37	1.6	59.9	1.8
Mills West	70	2.1	53	1.8	57	1.7	40	1.8	59.4	1.8
Cornfield Harbor	90	3.1	80	3.1	57	1.8	63	2.6	79.3	2.5
Ragged Point	0	0.0	3	0.0	0	0.0	3	0.0	21.3	0.6
Lower Cedar Point	20	0.4	3	0.1	55	1.6	33	1.1	22.1	0.5
Annual Means	57	1.9	52	1.8	61	2.1	63	2.5	66.7	2.2
Bar Freq. (%)	9	8	9	5	9	5	1	00	97	7.2

Table 3 - Dermo (continued).

Table 4. Prevalence of *Haplosporidium nelsoni* in oysters from the 43 disease monitoring bars, 1990-2016. NA=insufficient quantity of oysters for analytical sample. ND= sample collected but diagnostics not performed; prevalence assumed to be 0. (S) = bar within an oyster sanctuary since 2010.

Decien	Original Dem		1	Haplospor	idium nei	soni Prev	valence (%	%)	
Region	Oyster Bar	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
Upper Bay	Swan Point	0	0	0	0	ND	0	0	0
	Hackett Point	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Middle Dev	Holland Point (S)	0	3	13	0	0	0	0	0
Wildule Day	Stone Rock	0	0	43	0	0	3	0	0
	Flag Pond (S)	0	0	53	0	0	27	0	0
Lower Bay	Hog Island	0	0	43	0	0	14	0	0
Lower Day	Butler	0	0	50	0	0	23	0	7
Chester River	Buoy Rock	ND	0	0	0	ND	0	0	0
	Old Field (S)	ND	0	0	0	ND	0	0	0
	Bugby	0	7	3	0	0	0	0	0
Eastern Bay	Parsons Island	ND	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
	Hollicutt Noose	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0
Wye River	Bruffs Island (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Miles River	Turtle Back	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0
	Long Point (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cook Point (S)	0	7	73	0	0	NA	0	3
	Royston	NA	0	33	0	0	0	0	0
Choptank River	Lighthouse	0	0	53	0	0	0	0	0
	Sandy Hill (S)	0	0	13	0	ND	0	0	0
	Oyster Shell Pt. (S)	0	0	30	0	ND	0	0	0
Harris Creek	Tilghman Wharf	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0
Broad Creek	Deep Neck	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0
Tred Avon River	Double Mills (S)	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0
Little Choptank R.	Cason (S)	0	0	43	0	0	0	0	0
	Ragged Point	0	20	57	0	0	0	0	0
Honga River	Norman Addition	3	0	53	0	0	33	0	0
Fishing Bay	Goose Creek	0	10	27	7	0	20	0	0
Nanticoke River	Wilson Shoals (S)	0	0	57	0	ND	7	0	0
Manokin River	Georges (S)	10	7	23	0	0	33	0	0
Holland Straits	Holland Straits	0	20	13	13	0	52	0	10
	Sharkfin Shoal	20	43	40	17	0	33	0	0
Tangier Sound	Back Cove	0	17	27	33	7	20	3	3
8	Piney Island East	7	23	17	20	13	10	7	13
D	Old Woman's Leg	0	33	23	30	10	43	20	4
Pocomoke Sound	Marumsco	0	20	20	0	0	20	0	11
Patuxent River	Broome Island	0	ND	20	0	0	0	0	0
St. Mary's River	Chicken Cock	0	0	57	0	ND	0	0	0
	Pagan (S)	0	0	0	0	ND	0	0	0
Wicomico R.	Lancaster	0	0	0	0	ND	0	0	0
(west)	Mills West	0	0	0	0	ND	0	0	0
	Cornfield Harbor	0	0	57	0	0	37	0	0
Potomac River	Ragged Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lower Cedar Point	ND	ND	0	0	ND	0	0	0
Frequency of	f Positive Bars (%)	9	28	74	14	7	40	7	16
Avera	1.1	5.1	24.5	2.8	0.9	9.5	0.7	1.2	

Original Dom			I	Iaplospor	idium nel	soni Prev	alence (%	6)		
Oyster Bar	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Swan Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hackett Point	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0
Holland Point (S)	0	0	3	7	40	0	0	0	0	0
Stone Rock	0	30	47	40	30	3	0	0	0	0
Flag Pond (S)	0	NA	NA	NA	20	0	0	0	0	0
Hog Island	0	60	27	27	20	0	0	0	0	0
Butler	3	47	17	27	20	3	3	0	3	10
Buoy Rock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Old Field (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bugby	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0
Parsons Island	0	0	0	3	17	0	0	0	0	0
Hollicutt Noose	0	7	10	17	37	0	0	0	0	0
Bruffs Island (S)	0	0	0	3	17	0	0	0	0	0
Turtle Back	0	0	0	- 7	33	0	0	0	0	0
Long Point (S)	0	12	0	0	3 NA	0	0	0	0	0
Cook Point (S)	0	15	33	5/	INA 60	0	0	3	0	0
Lighthouse	0	12	7	0	67	0	0	0	0	0
Sondy Hill (S)	0	15	/	10	52	0	0	0	0	0
Over Shell Pt (S)	0	0	0	0	33 7	0	0	0	0	0
Tilghman Wharf	0	3	27	7	60	0	0	0	0	0
Deen Neck	0	3	7	0	63	0	0	0	0	0
Double Mills (S)	0	3	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	0
Cason (S)	0	7	27	33	59	0	0	0	0	0
Ragged Point	0	20	47	40	30	0	0	0	0	0
Norman Addition	3	63	37	37	20	7	0	0	0	7
Goose Creek	0	47	17	13	33	0	0	0	0	3
Wilson Shoals (S)	0	4	10	10	27	0	0	0	0	7
Georges (S)	0	40	20	13	30	0	0	0	0	7
Holland Straits	3	73	40	47	57	7	0	0	0	23
Sharkfin Shoal	20	53	37	20	27	7	0	0	0	10
Back Cove	10	33	37	10	7	7	0	7	13	33
Piney Island East	17	43	53	40	17	10	3	0	3	17
Old Woman's Leg	23	53	30	13	13	3	3	13	13	13
Marumsco	7	37	30	17	30	0	0	0	0	10
Broome Island	0	3	10	0	13	0	0	0	0	0
Chicken Cock	0	77	7	17	30	3	0	0	0	3
Pagan (S)	0	3	13	10	40	0	0	0	0	0
Lancaster	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
Mills West	0	3	0	0	43	0	0	0	0	0
Cornfield Harbor	3	53	17	33	50	10	0	0	0	7
Ragged Point	0	13	10	7	60	0	0	0	0	0
Lower Cedar Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pos. Bars (%)	19	67	64	67	90	23	7	7	9	30
Avg. Prev. (%)	2.1	19.2	14.9	13.0	29.0	1.4	0.2	0.5	0.7	3.1

Table 4 – MSX (continued).

Orintan Dan				Нар	olosporidi	um nelsor	<i>ii</i> Prevale	ence (%)			
Oyster Bar	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	27-Yr Avg	
Swan Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	
Hackett Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	
Holland Point (S)	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.6	
Stone Rock	10	23	3	0	0	0	0	7	13	9.3	
Flag Pond (S)	3	13	7	0	0	0	0	12	10	6.0	
Hog Island	7	17	0	0	0	0	0	10	40	9.8	
Butler	7	37	17	0	0	0	3	13	48	12.5	
Buoy Rock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	
Old Field (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	
Bugby	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	1.6	
Parsons Island	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1.3	
Hollicutt Noose	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	4.1	
Bruffs Island (S)	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1.0	
Turtle Back	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	2.7	
Long Point (S)	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.2	
Cook Point (S)	7	43	10	0	0	0	0	13	30	10.9	
Royston	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	30	5.4	
Lighthouse	0	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	37	7.3	
Sandy Hill (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.9	
Oyster Shell Pt. (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.4	
Tilghman Wharf	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	27	6.4	
Deep Neck	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4.4	
Double Mills (S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.0	
Cason (S)	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	7.9	
Ragged Point	0	13	10	0	0	0	0	20	17	10.1	
Norman Addition	10	33	10	0	0	0	3	3	7	12.2	
Goose Creek	7	27	0	0	0	0	0	13	7	8.6	
Wilson Shoals (S)	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	5.1	
Georges (S)	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	7.3	
Holland Straits	7	33	23	0	0	0	3	10	13	16.6	
Sharkfin Shoal	17	17	10	0	0	0	10	10	0	14.5	
Back Cove	13	27	7	0	0	3	10	17	37	14.1	
Piney Island East	0	33	7	0	0	10	27	33	10	16.0	
Old Woman's Leg	0	27	20	7	3	3	20	23	17	17.0	
Marumsco	0	17	3	0	3	0	10	10	0	9.1	
Broome Island	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	2.2	
Chicken Cock	13	57	10	0	0	0	0	23	60	13.7	
Pagan (S)	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.7	
Lancaster	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	
Mills West	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.8	
Cornfield Harbor	10	30	/	0	0	10	10	30	33	14.7	
Ragged Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3.4	
Lower Cedar Point	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	
Pos. Bars (%)	30	60	40	2	5	9	21	56	56	31.7	
Avg. Prev. (%)	2.7	13.0	3.6	0.2	0.1	0.6	2.2	7.0	11	6.3	

Table 4 - MSX (continued).

Desien	Orietan Dan			Tota	l Observe	ed Morta	lity (%)		
Region	Oyster Bar	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992
Upper Bay	Swan Point	14	1	2	1	9	4	4	3
	Hackett Point	7	0	10	9	5	2	2	12
MC111 D	Holland Point (S)	4	21	19	3	19	3	14	45
Middle Bay	Stone Rock	6	NA	NA	NA	NA	2	9	45
	Flag Pond (S)	NA	48	30	39	37	10	35	77
Louise Dou	Hog Island	NA	26	47	25	6	19	73	85
Lower bay	Butler	NA	23	84	15	7	30	58	84
Chaster Diver	Buoy Rock	10	0	0	1	10	5	11	16
Chester River	Old Field (S)	8	3	3	4	2	7	3	9
	Bugby	8	25	46	33	25	39	53	18
Eastern Bay	Parsons Island	19	1	26	13	2	7	43	27
	Hollicutt Noose	2	32	42	25	14	1	7	9
Wye River	Bruffs Island (S)	2	1	45	12	9	12	50	77
Miles Diver	Turtle Back	NA	1	19	27	15	27	51	23
whiles River	Long Point (S)	17	8	23	8	12	11	53	73
	Cook Point (S)	40	20	45	63	6	11	2	88
	Royston	4	21	19	11	14	14	33	43
Choptank River	Lighthouse	3	14	59	14	8	8	45	52
	Sandy Hill (S)	12	6	29	34	7	11	75	48
	Oyster Shell Pt. (S)	9	0	1	2	2	3	2	19
Harris Creek	Tilghman Wharf	2	36	57	NA	20	30	34	26
Broad Creek	Deep Neck	2	25	37	32	47	66	48	40
Tred Avon River	Double Mills (S)	4	7	13	9	6	28	82	50
Little Choptonk P	Cason (S)	4	22	60	37	40	63	25	48
Ение Спортанк К.	Ragged Point	5	31	84	38	7	23	53	49
Honga River	Norman Addition	15	53	82	NA	11	11	48	49
Fishing Bay	Goose Creek	6	26	84	59	19	7	23	63
Nanticoke River	Wilson Shoals (S)	23	65	51	41	38	10	29	60
Manokin River	Georges (S)	5	24	84	55	23	31	50	55
Holland Straits	Holland Straits	19	51	85	90	15	27	35	71
	Sharkfin Shoal	25	61	94	80	8	0	10	63
Tangier Sound	Back Cove	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	11	49	88
Taligici Soulia	Piney Island East	21	16	88	11	5	23	57	55
	Old Woman's Leg	4	17	79	21	8	5	50	80
Pocomoke Sound	Marumsco	3	27	77	NA	20	8	31	44
Patuxent River	Broome Island	10	29	31	6	4	24	53	70
St Mary's River	Chicken Cock	18	43	63	43	24	27	31	51
	Pagan (S)	9	30	27	13	20	39	24	19
Wicomico R.	Lancaster	13	6	4	4	6	28	20	8
(west)	Mills West	18	0	2	1	1	2	11	9
	Cornfield Harbor	17	59	92	51	11	16	29	77
Potomac River	Ragged Point	10	14	29	79	54	63	34	63
	Lower Cedar Point	6	9	2	1	6	6	7	5
A	nnual Means	10	22	44	29	14	18	34	46

Table 5. Oyster population mortality estimates from the 43 disease monitoring bars, 1985-2016. NA=unable to obtain a sufficient sample size. (S) = bar within an oyster sanctuary since 2010.

Overten Den				Total	Observed	l Mortali	ty (%)			
Oyster Bar	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Swan Point	5	35	18	43	20	3	7	13	12	14
Hackett Point	18	30	30	16	10	26	22	13	30	60
Holland Point (S)	43	42	35	49	36	36	8	33	42	67
Stone Rock	30	29	40	25	15	33	46	66	30	86
Flag Pond (S)	43	28	24	16	13	33	50	NA	NA	23
Hog Island	76	16	45	20	16	33	67	67	14	31
Butler	66	37	63	17	20	20	48	67	32	11
Buoy Rock	51	33	22	17	7	7	6	25	43	61
Old Field (S)	8	12	8	17	8	5	8	21	36	47
Bugby	29	18	18	27	15	8	5	29	48	63
Parsons Island	29	18	36	22	25	8	16	29	60	59
Hollicutt Noose	29	32	30	13	15	14	13	38	55	85
Bruffs Island (S)	47	47	33	6	6	11	16	33	44	50
Turtle Back	24	40	51	21	9	9	26	38	48	54
Long Point (S)	44	8	28	8	3	9	14	33	34	66
Cook Point (S)	63	40	22	16	11	20	35	63	28	100
Rovston	37	10	17	9	9	6	32	31	51	91
Lighthouse	57	27	18	15	5	6	20	33	44	92
Sandy Hill (S)	45	36	29	23	22	4	15	27	50	77
Ovster Shell Pt. (S)	20	14	18	25	6	2	1	15	28	55
Tilghman Wharf	36	6	10	9	15	6	12	19	34	85
Deep Neck	32	1	23	14	8	13	37	23	37	85
Double Mills (S)	24	10	20	9	8	10	38	40	50	85
Cason (S)	53	6	7	12	11	18	28	32	62	98
Ragged Point	71	17	16	12	13	19	34	37	70	94
Norman Addition	51	28	39	55	31	54	35	38	29	29
Goose Creek	38	7	38	69	64	20	64	63	81	85
Wilson Shoals (S)	23	10	17	11	11	9	29	25	26	52
Georges (S)	16	0	55	33	36	12	32	60	50	44
Holland Straits	18	16	45	43	20	18	35	35	17	12
Sharkfin Shoal	16	7	66	59	47	28	62	61	39	61
Back Cove	4	6	46	33	29	50	59	20	46	38
Piney Island East	13	20	65	56	49	67	38	27	12	20
Old Woman's Leg	15	25	63	46	33	38	42	15	53	27
Marumsco	21	8	78	53	49	26	40	22	35	45
Broome Island	53	27	8	0	13	11	44	25	59	72
Chicken Cock	33	28	15	10	7	24	82	63	28	63
Pagan (S)	17	11	9	27	15	3	14	35	51	84
Lancaster	7	4	19	25	8	8	18	48	58	52
Mills West	2	4	21	18	17	16	24	36	40	75
Cornfield Harbor	47	25	56	24	7	27	78	62	44	33
Ragged Point	28	35	8	11	4	25	10	8	33	NA
Lower Cedar Point	47	28	5	23	3	26	8	0	3	44
Annual Means	33	20	30	25	18	19	31	35	38	58

Table 5 - Mortality (continued).

Orietan Dan				Total	Observed	l Mortali	ty (%)			
Oyster Bar	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Swan Point	13	10	11	8	10	9	33	20	27	1
Hackett Point	17	10	2	5	11	26	15	14	0	13
Holland Point (S)	50	29	5	0	0	11	0	8	50	7
Stone Rock	13	5	5	20	5	25	16	8	2	2
Flag Pond (S)	0	0	2	4	0	14	26	20	11	0
Hog Island	11	6	12	25	42	14	18	12	8	14
Butler	9	2	3	23	0	9	8	8	12	4
Buoy Rock	41	28	6	21	20	24	43	8	4	2
Old Field (S)	34	10	38	12	12	17	17	11	21	12
Bugby	50	14	2	20	52	42	50	12	4	9
Parsons Island	37	11	8	35	50	34	36	16	10	4
Hollicutt Noose	25	3	6	48	43	27	12	23	0	0
Bruffs Island (S)	50	12	5	4	12	36	33	28	0	7
Turtle Back	43	11	12	51	57	55	34	5	11	4
Long Point (S)	54	10	10	14	38	46	17	33	0	33
Cook Point (S)	21	0	0	0	12	22	7	8	6	5
Rovston	69	14	0	0	9	5	10	0	1	3
Lighthouse	89	47	0	0	0	0	4	1	3	4
Sandy Hill (S)	88	59	44	24	4	5	5	0	8	6
Ovster Shell Pt. (S)	48	20	0	4	0	4	4	2	1	3
Tilghman Wharf	62	17	0	1	10	14	2	2	3	0
Deep Neck	54	14	1	3	8	9	3	6	4	3
Double Mills (S)	59	23	8	0	7	4	19	6	4	14
Cason (S)	57	4	0	2	4	16	17	33	10	13
Ragged Point	52	5	4	13	13	2	22	15	4	2
Norman Addition	9	14	40	5	3	2	6	15	9	10
Goose Creek	53	59	50	50	1	2	6	0	3	1
Wilson Shoals (S)	19	27	7	21	7	30	10	3	5	8
Georges (S)	4	24	44	76	16	48	10	12	2	11
Holland Straits	11	18	43	48	17	27	12	14	5	7
Sharkfin Shoal	23	32	54	22	10	3	18	20	12	13
Back Cove	22	23	32	12	5	8	6	15	4	10
Piney Island East	28	48	50	23	6	18	20	26	17	11
Old Woman's Leg	35	56	26	0	12	14	37	38	26	0
Marumsco	4	11	29	20	10	21	7	13	4	15
Broome Island	14	19	6	6	20	20	11	14	3	6
Chicken Cock	2	38	50	20	20	7	27	22	11	1
Pagan (S)	7	29	66	9	4	11	29	13	5	11
Lancaster	35	27	14	7	31	17	24	0	0	0
Mills West	48	11	0	7	33	0	16	10	11	12
Cornfield Harbor	1	7	20	2	9	25	44	16	9	8
Ragged Point	76	NA	NA	NA	0	0	0	0	0	10
Lower Cedar Point	55	22	17	3	11	5	4	7	14	10
Annual Means	35	20	17	16	15	17	17	12	8	7

Table 5 - Mortality (continued).

Overten Den					Total	Observed Mortality (%)
Oyster Bar	2013	2014	2015	2016	32-Yr Avg	
Swan Point	4	0	3	0	11.2	
Hackett Point	0	0	0	3	13.1	
Holland Point (S)	12	40	29	0	23.8	
Stone Rock	2	5	31	36	22.8	
Flag Pond (S)	15	13	5	6	21.4	
Hog Island	2	2	12	38	28.5	
Butler	7	7	10	11	25.6	
Buoy Rock	5	9	3	12	17.2	
Old Field (S)	0	3	0	5	12.5	
Bugby	8	31	21	21	26.3	
Parsons Island	2	4	15	2	22.0	
Hollicutt Noose	1	9	6	7	20.8	
Bruffs Island (S)	0	4	5	16	22.3	
Turtle Back	0	8	14	18	26.0	
Long Point (S)	20	0	0	17	23.3	
Cook Point (S)	9	12	16	48	26.2	
Royston	1	6	9	16	18.6	
Lighthouse	1	1	2	9	21.3	
Sandy Hill (S)	3	13	11	15	26.1	
Oyster Shell Pt. (S)	2	5	2	11	10.3	
Tilghman Wharf	5	1	5	11	18.4	
Deep Neck	5	7	16	8	22.2	
Double Mills (S)	11	12	10	20	21.6	
Cason (S)	11	8	17	26	26.4	
Ragged Point	15	13	21	45	28.1	
Norman Addition	9	7	13	14	26.3	
Goose Creek	5	15	22	27	34.7	
Wilson Shoals (S)	5	4	7	17	21.9	
Georges (S)	15	5	8	23	30.1	
Holland Straits	9	48	71	18	31.3	
Sharkfin Shoal	16	18	24	19	33.5	
Back Cove	11	19	14	1	24.5	
Piney Island East	7	10	9	21	29.3	
Old Woman's Leg	50	75	15	0	31.4	
Marumsco	13	13	17	13	25.1	
Broome Island	7	8	14	21	22.1	
Chicken Cock	1	7	16	32	28.3	
Pagan (S)	4	13	22	28	21.8	
Lancaster	13	0	3	1	15.9	
Mills West	20	9	5	14	15.4	
Cornfield Harbor	10	16	10	36	30.3	
Ragged Point	0	0	50	10	23.4	
Lower Cedar Point	0	0	6	8	12.2	
Annual Means	8	11	14	16	23.0	

Table 5 - Mortality (continued).

	Maryl	and Oyster	Harvests (bı	1)		
Region/Tributary	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88	1988-89	1989-90	1990-91
Upper Bay	5,600	30,800	19,100	17,700	15,700	19,800
Middle Bay	73,400	37,900	42,500	10,500	15,900	17,700
Lower Bay	32,500	5,900	70	0	3,600	37,900
Total Bay Mainstem	111,500	74,600	61,700	28,200	35,200	75,400
Chester R.	21,300	20,600	30,900	49,900	54,000	60,400
Eastern Bay	216,100	149,100	28,700	15,700	20,400	33,200
Miles R.	40,400	20,600	17,100	13,600	1,400	1,700
Wye R.	20,100	2,200	700	3,800	8,000	2,300
Total Eastern Bay Region	276,600	171,900	46,500	33,100	29,800	37,200
Upper Choptank R.	29,000	42,400	36,500	51,900	27,700	42,200
Middle Choptank R.	144,500	89,700	66,400	66,400	71,000	49,700
Lower Choptank R.	225,100	52,500	26,200	9,100	32,100	9,000
Tred Avon R.	67,700	60,900	13,700	42,400	92,100	22,000
Broad Cr.	12,900	58,700	8,500	13,500	8,100	4,300
Harris Cr.	3,500	16,700	6,900	7,800	8,800	3,300
Total Choptank R. Region	482,700	320,900	158,200	191,100	239,800	130,500
Little Choptank R.	27,100	10,500	21,500	15,000	19,000	8,800
Upper Tangier Sound	84,000	30,400	40	0	0	1,000
Lower Tangier Sound	64,400	22,200	90	0	0	1,600
Honga R.	29,400	49,300	7,700	300	1,100	5,600
Fishing Bay	107,600	87,300	90	20	20	900
Nanticoke R.	21,300	5,100	1,500	900	2,600	3,000
Wicomico R.	3,600	200	100	40	20	60
Manokin R.	40,800	47,400	500	70	10	60
Big Annemessex R.	90	10	10	0	40	0
Pocomoke Sound	32,700	22,300	0	0	0	300
Total Tangier Sound Region	383,900	264,200	10,000	1,300	3,800	12,500
Patuxent R.	96,300	16,800	1,400	3,700	8,900	48,400
Wicomico R., St. Clement and Breton Bays	16,000	23,400	23,000	47,600	22,200	36,000
St. Mary's R. and Smith Cr.	80,700	30,700	2,300	500	1,100	1,700
Total Md. Potomac Tribs	96,700	54,100	25,300	48,100	23,300	37,700
Total Maryland (bu.) ¹	1,500,000	976,000	360,000	390,000	414,000	418,000

Table 6. Regional summary of oyster harvests (bu.) in Maryland, 1985-86 through 2015-16 seasons.

¹ Includes harvests from unidentified regions. Not all harvest reports provided region information, but were included in the Md. total.

	Maryl	and Oyster	Harvests (bi	1)		
Region/Tributary	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97
Upper Bay	35,200	18,200	8,900	7,800	26,600	2,600
Middle Bay	39,200	9,000	4,400	4,900	12,600	20,000
Lower Bay	9,300	90	0	1,100	800	300
Total Bay Mainstem	83,800	27,300	13,300	13,800	40,000	22,800
Chester R.	55,100	53,800	51,300	29,100	42,600	5,400
Eastern Bay	20,600	3,600	2,400	3,700	1,500	1,100
Miles R.	100	300	0	200	200	500
Wye R.	300	20	30	50	0	0
Total Eastern Bay Region	21,000	3,900	2,400	4,000	1,700	1,600
Upper Choptank R.	29,200	9,500	2,600	2,500	11,600	3,200
Middle Choptank R.	25,000	3,100	1,600	4,900	15,000	4,700
Lower Choptank R.	14,200	1,700	900	600	900	300
Tred Avon R.	800	0	0	5,900	1,300	3,800
Broad Cr.	40	50	10	400	1,000	4,000
Harris Cr.	100	20	0	14,200	5,000	13,600
Total Choptank R. Region	69,300	14,400	5,100	28,500	34,800	29,600
Little Choptank R.	3,800	50	300	19,300	1,900	40,800
Upper Tangier Sound	11,300	70	0	17,600	12,100	8,100
Lower Tangier Sound	1,700	40	0	5,400	500	10,100
Honga R.	600	20	100	1,700	400	200
Fishing Bay	6,400	500	30	11,900	20,900	8,800
Nanticoke R.	12,500	7,700	2,500	10,500	15,200	23,000
Wicomico R.	600	500	500	80	100	1,400
Manokin R.	200	40	10	100	0	900
Big Annemessex R.	10	0	0	0	0	0
Pocomoke Sound	500	0	0	100	0	300
Total Tangier Sound Region	33,800	8,900	3,100	47,400	49,200	52,800
Patuxent R.	24,500	0	0	30	100	20
Wicomico R., St. Clement and Breton Bays	29,600	14,900	4,000	18,200	27,500	7,300
St. Mary's R. and Smith Cr.	100	60	30	3,900	900	16,200
Total Potomac Md. Tribs	29,000	15,000	4,000	22,100	28,400	23,500
Total Maryland (bu.) ¹	323,000	124,000	80,000	165,000	200,000	178,000

	Maryl	and Oyster	Harvests (bi	1)		
Region/Tributary	1997-98	1998-99	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
Upper Bay	18,800	13,100	28,100	31,150	16,100	18,930
Middle Bay	15,300	55,800	31,500	16,400	4,550	2,410
Lower Bay	4,800	8,300	3,800	2,050	600	50
Total Bay Mainstem	38,900	77,200	63,400	49,600	21,250	21,390
Chester R.	43,000	21,000	70,100	20,800	29,450	11,830
Eastern Bay	3,800	30,900	75,800	120,500	33,400	4,650
Miles R.	30	800	35,700	20,150	6,600	50
Wye R.	400	900	9,400	11,300	1,800	60
Total Eastern Bay Region	4,200	32,600	120,900	151,950	41,800	4,760
Upper Choptank R.	4,800	3,100	7,100	1,100	7,450	10
Middle Choptank R.	5,600	2,800	1,900	8,150	5,600	520
Lower Choptank R.	200	2,400	8,300	350	1,500	40
Tred Avon R.	6,900	11,700	3,700	8,950	1,000	40
Broad Cr.	27,600	46,200	18,200	36,850	4,900	700
Harris Cr.	21,400	67,000	18,200	26,200	3,300	30
Total Choptank R. Region	66,500	133,200	57,400	81,600	23,750	1,340
Little Choptank R.	36,100	84,100	33,600	27,850	2,400	190
Upper Tangier Sound	6,000	3,500	1,500	100	5,050	3,570
Lower Tangier Sound	4,200	8,500	2,800	1,450	13,200	5,960
Honga R.	1,300	300	50	0	50	590
Fishing Bay	3,800	700	90	0	0	390
Nanticoke R.	30,300	21,700	8,800	600	2,700	540
Wicomico R.	2,200	1,400	500	50	50	10
Manokin R.	600	300	90	200	1,850	970
Big Annemessex R.	0	0	200	0	0	0
Pocomoke Sound	400	80	100	10	20	0
Total Tangier Sound Region	48,800	36,500	14,100	2,400	22,920	12,030
Patuxent R.	60	5,600	2,000	10	0	0
Wicomico R., St. Clement and Breton Bays	10,200	13,700	8,800	2,600	1,400	220
St. Mary's R. and Smith Cr.	36,700	16,400	4,500	6,150	1,650	0
Total Potomac Md. Tribs	46,900	30,100	13,300	8,750	3,050	220
Total Maryland (bu.) ¹	285,000	423,000	381,000	348,000	148,000	56,000

	Maryl	and Oyster	Harvests (bi	1)		
Region/Tributary	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Upper Bay	2,210	1,632	17,420	14,052	13,601	7,020
Middle Bay	750	295	17,346	17,004	3,728	1,870
Lower Bay	187	1,801	269	642	2,077	5,554
Total Bay Mainstem	3,147	3,728	35,035	31,698	19,406	14.444
Chester R.	557	3,239	4,385	7,201	4,685	4,826
Eastern Bay	5,446	16,767	49,120	36,268	8,582	7,390
Miles R.	56	353	3,660	1,133	27	910
Wye R.	0	173	122	0	0	12
Total Eastern Bay Region	5,502	17,293	52,902	37,401	8,609	8,312
Upper Choptank R.	0	78	591	11	95	15
Middle Choptank R.	30	67	967	2,510	597	597
Lower Choptank R.	0	267	1,250	3,037	2,426	2,535
Tred Avon R.	0	139	149	157	61	112
Broad Cr.	954	1,342	14,006	53,577	20,413	6,097
Harris Cr.	12	71	4,429	5,342	3,308	1,900
Total Choptank R. Region	996	1,964	21,392	64,634	26,900	11,256
Little Choptank R.	1,150	144	3,534	4,218	1,516	1,163
Upper Tangier Sound	7,630	13,658	2,874	3,856	4,614	12,454
Lower Tangier Sound	5,162	15,648	5,828	1,996	8,970	19,600
Honga R.	378	2,744	270	154	860	17,305
Fishing Bay	24	106	6	0	197	3,320
Nanticoke R.	57	965	387	97	97	134
Wicomico R.	0	0	0	30	11	118
Manokin R.	1,638	2,816	737	91	364	184
Big Annemessex R.	0	5	108	17	5	13
Pocomoke Sound	0	2,676	1,071	277	1,051	765
Total Tangier Sound Region	14,889	38,618	11,281	6,518	16,169	53,893
Patuxent R.	0	466	17,808	7,316	831	1,258
Wicomico R., St. Clement and Breton Bays	13	18	1,414	80	698	808
St. Mary's R. and Smith Cr.	0	91	1,863	2,069	1,252	1,643
Total Potomac Md. Tribs	13	109	3,277	2,149	1,950	2,451
Total Maryland (bu.) ¹	26,000	72,000	154,000	165,000	83,000	101,000

]	Maryland O	yster Harve	sts (bu)		
Region/Tributary	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Upper Bay	8,723	6,310	297	19	45	606
Middle Bay	4,012	2,054	439	4,310	9,218	7,321
Lower Bay	14,927	2,759	2,249	8,134	13,670	12,298
Total Bay Mainstem	27,662	11,123	2,985	12,463	22,933	20,224
Chester R.	2,874	5,290	119	102	556	3,493
Eastern Bay	2,662	1,957	221	4,966	15,650	8,763
Miles R.	11	12	81	82	727	1,871
Wye R.	227	0	9	0	0	73
Total Eastern Bay Region	2,900	1,969	311	5,048	16,377	10,707
Upper Choptank R.	42	412	0	149	213	73
Middle Choptank R.	661	523	1,598	1,725	4,032	5,548
Lower Choptank R.	3,424	3,534	3,402	11,336	12,934	26,008
Tred Avon R.	0	68	402	1,095	2,038	2,850
Broad Cr.	5,328	7,646	11,382	72,643	76,125	62,436
Harris Cr.	1,227	191	100	3,043	3,353	8,112
Total Choptank R. Region	10,682	12,374	16,884	89,991	98,695	105,028
Little Choptank R.	923	0	568	1,216	2,137	5,044
Upper Tangier Sound	24,553	19,098	24,076	40,143	57,853	53,270
Lower Tangier Sound	61,771	27,849	29,578	38,802	45,301	25,660
Honga R.	24,696	10,213	10,391	20,182	24,594	22,122
Fishing Bay	14,949	10,174	13,852	51,038	61,909	39,054
Nanticoke R.	2,168	5,300	10,121	8,385	6,558	14,924
Wicomico R.	109	1,140	3,587	5,551	4,253	3,748
Manokin R.	888	1,477	1,731	84	1,863	3,158
Big Annemessex R.	0	1,036	546	79	730	576
Pocomoke Sound	1,165	855	3,859	35,193	33,343	18,262
Total Tangier Sound Region	130,299	77,142	97,741	199,457	236,404	180,773
Patuxent R.	3,456	6,535	8,419	13,764	19,984	45,781
Wicomico R., St. Clement and Breton Bays	712	2,132	1,931	4,504	6,383	3,822
St. Mary's R. and Smith Cr.	3,186	2,275	1,454	11,345	7,909	10,775
Total Potomac Md. Tribs	3,898	4,407	3,385	15,849	14,292	14,597
Total Maryland (bu.) ¹	185,245	123,613	137,317	341,232	416,578	388,658

]	Maryland C
Region/Tributary	2015-16	31-yr Avg
Upper Bay	3,648	13,218
Middle Bay	13,019	15,978
Lower Bay	4,285	5,807
Total Bay Mainstem	20,952	34,539
Chester R.	1,547	22,886
Eastern Bay	13,091	30,195
Miles R.	3,335	5,538
Wye R.	18	2,000
Total Eastern Bay Region	16,444	37,732
Upper Choptank R.	192	10,120
Middle Choptank R.	8,420	19,156
Lower Choptank R.	22,141	15,409
Tred Avon R.	4,007	11,418
Broad Cr.	67,375	20,815
Harris Cr.	7,072	8,200
Total Choptank R. Region	109,207	85,119
Little Choptank R.	2,027	12,127
Upper Tangier Sound	64,305	16,539
Lower Tangier Sound	28,269	14,728
Honga R.	13,241	7,931
Fishing Bay	20,195	14,976
Nanticoke R.	7,095	7,314
Wicomico R.	10,122	1,293
Manokin R.	1,431	3,567
Big Annemessex R.	4,037	242
Pocomoke Sound	10,261	5,342
Total Tangier Sound Region	158,956	71,929
Patuxent R.	50,048	12,371
Wicomico R., St. Clement and Breton Bays	5,596	10,798
St. Mary's R. and Smith Cr.	10,537	8,322
Total Potomac Md. Tribs	16,133	19,098
Total Maryland (bu.) ¹	383,534	301,490

¹ Includes harvests from unidentified regions.

Season	Hand Tongs	Diver	Patent Tongs	Power Dredge	Skipjack	Total Harvest ¹	Dockside Value
1989-90	309,723	47,861	31,307	11,424	14,007	414,445	\$ 9.9 M
1990-91	219,510	74,333	105,825	4,080	14,555	418,393	\$ 9.4 M
1991-92	124,038	53,232	108,123	6,344	31,165	323,189	\$ 6.4 M
1992-93	71,929	24,968	18,074	1,997	8,821	123,618	\$ 2.6 M
1993-94	47,309	19,589	11,644	787	133	79,618	\$ 1.4 M
1994-95	99,853	29,073	31,388	1,816	2,410	164,641	\$ 3.2 M
1995-96	115,677	25,657	46,040	6,347	7,630	199,798	\$ 3.2 M
1996-97	130,861	16,780	15,716	8,448	6,088	177,600	\$ 3.8 M
1997-98	191,079	37,477	30,340	14,937	10,543	284,980	\$ 5.7 M
1998-99	294,342	58,837	36,151	25,541	8,773	423,219	\$ 7.8 M
1999-2000	237,892	60,547	44,524	18,131	12,194	380,675	\$ 7.2 M
2000-01	193,259	75,535	43,233	18,336	8,820	347,968	\$6.8 M
2001-02	62,358	30,284	26,848	17,574	8,322	148,155	\$ 2.9 M
2002-03	11,508	9,745	18,627	12,386	2,432	55,840	\$ 1.6 M
2003-04	1,561	5,422	3,867	13,436	1,728	26,471	\$ 0.7 M
2004-05	5,438	14,258	6,548	37,641	4,000	72,218	\$ 1.1 M
2005-06	28,098	38,460	49,227	30,824	3,576	154,436	\$ 4.7 M
2006-07	55,906	36,271	31,535	35,125	3,250	165,059	\$ 5.0 M
2007-08	24,175	11,745	15,997	25,324	4,243	82,958	\$ 2.6 M
2008-09	11,274	9,941	15,833	50,628	5,370	101,141	\$ 2.7 M
2009-10	7,697	6,609	48,969	107,952	12,479	185,245	\$4.5 M
2010-11	13,234	5,927	27,780	65,445	10,550	123,613	\$4.3 M
2011-12	4,885	12,382	22,675	84,950	11,305	137,317	\$4.6M
2012-13	53,622	8,107	48,095	212,837	18,471	341,132	\$10.9 M
2013-14	67,093	21,510	75,937	242,964	9,074	416,578	\$14.1 M
2014-15	57,289	25,126	98,187	154,716	33,518	388,658	\$17.1 M
2015-16	71,296	31,110	91,852	107,781	32,815	383,534	\$14.9 M

Table 7a. Bushels of oyster harvest by gear type in Maryland, 1989-90 through 2015-16 seasons. Dockside value is in millions of dollars.

¹Harvest reports without gear information were not included in harvest by gear type totals but were included in total harvest.

Season	Hand Tongs	Diver	Patent Tongs	Power Dredge	Skipjack
1989-90	75	12	8	3	3
1990-91	52	18	25	1	3
1991-92	38	16	33	2	10
1992-93	57	20	14	2	7
1993-94	60	25	15	<1	<1
1994-95	61	18	19	1	1
1995-96	57	13	23	3	4
1996-97	74	9	9	5	3
1997-98	67	13	11	5	4
1998-99	69	14	9	6	2
1999-2000	62	16	12	5	3
2000-01	56	22	12	5	3
2001-02	41	20	18	12	6
2002-03	21	17	33	22	4
2003-04	6	20	15	51	7
2004-05	8	20	9	52	6
2005-06	18	25	32	20	2
2006-07	34	22	19	21	2
2007-08	29	14	19	30	5
2008-09	12	11	17	54	6
2009-10	4	4	26	58	7
2010-11	11	5	23	53	8
2011-12	4	9	17	62	8
2012-13	16	2	14	62	5
2013-14	16	5	18	58	2
2014-15	16	7	27	42	9
2015-16	21	9	27	32	10

Table 7b. Percent of oyster harvest by gear type in Maryland, 1989-90 through 2015-16 seasons.Some years may not total 100% due to incomplete data.

Region	Oyster Sanctuary	Surveyed Bars Within Sanctuary		
Upper Bay	Man O War/Gales Lump	Man O War Shoals		
	Poplar Island	Poplar I.		
Middle Deer	Herring Bay	Holland Pt. ^{1,2}		
Middle Bay	Calvert Shore	Flag Pond ^{1,2}		
	Lower Mainstem East	Northwest Middleground		
Louise Dou	Cedar Point	Cedar Point Hollow		
Lower Day	Point Lookout	Pt. Lookout		
	Lower Chester River	Love Pt., Strong Bay, Wickes Beach		
~ ~	Upper Chester River	Boathouse, Cliff, Drum Pt., Ebb Pt., Emory Hollow, Old Field ² , Sheep		
Chester River	Chester ORA Zone A	Shippen Creek		
D D	Mill Hill	Mill Hill		
Eastern Bay	Cox Creek	Ringold Middleground		
W D'	W D	Bruffs I. ^{1,2} , Mills, Race Horse, Whetstone, Wye River		
wye River	wye River	Middleground		
Miles River	Miles River	Long Pt. ²		
	Cook Point	Cook Pt. ^{1,2}		
	Lower Choptank River	Chlora Pt.		
	Sandy Hill	Hambrooks, Sandy Hill ^{1,2}		
Choptank River	Howell Point - Beacons	Beacons		
	States Bank	Green Marsh, Shoal Creek		
	Upper Choptank River	Bolingbroke Sand, The Black Buoy, Oyster Shell Pt. ²		
	Choptank ORA Zone A	Dixon, Mill Dam, Tanners Patch, Cabin Creek, Drum Pt.		
Harris Creek	Harris Creek	Change, Mill Pt. ¹ , Seths Pt., Walnut, Little Neck, Rabbit I.		
Trad Asian Divan	Trad Ayon Diyon	Pecks Pt., Mares Pt., Louis Cove, Orem, Double Mills ^{1,2} ,		
Tieu Avoir Kiver	Tied Avoli River	Maxmore Add. 1		
Little Choptank	Little Chentenk Biyer	Little Pollard, Susquehanna, Cason ^{1,2} , Butterpot, McKeils Pt.,		
River	Little Choptank Kiver	Grapevine, Town, Pattison		
Hooper Straits	Hooper Straits	Applegarth, Lighthouse		
Nanticoka River	Nanticoka River	Roaring Pt. East, Wilson Shoals ² , Bean Shoal, Cherry Tree,		
		Cedar Shoal, Old Woman's Patch, Hickory Nut, Wetipquin ¹		
Manokin River	Manokin River	Piney I. Swash, Mine Creek, Marshy I., Drum Pt. ¹ , Georges ^{1,2}		
Tangier Sound	Somerset	Piney I. East Add. 1		
Severn River	Severn River	Chinks Pt.		
Potuvont Divor	Upper Patuxent	Thomas, Broad Neck, Trent Hall, Buzzard I., Holland Pt.		
	Neal Addition	Neale		
St. Marys River	St. Marys River	Pagan ^{1,2} , Horseshoe		
Breton Bay	Breton Bay	Black Walnut ¹ , Blue Sow ¹		

Table 8. Oyster bars within sanctuaries sampled during the 2016 Fall Survey.

¹ Key Spat Bar ² Disease Bar

APPENDIX 1 OYSTER HOST & OYSTER PATHOGENS

Chris Dungan Maryland Department of Natural Resources

Oysters

The eastern oyster Crassostrea virginica is found in waters with temperatures of -2°C to 36°C (28 - 97°F) and sustained salinities of 4 ‰ to 40 ‰ (ppt), where ocean water has 35 ‰ salinity. Oysters reproduce when both sexes simultaneously spawn their gametes into Chesapeake Bay waters. Spawning occurs from May - September, and peaks during June - July. Externally fertilized eggs develop into swimming planktonic larvae that are transported by water currents for 2-3 weeks, while feeding on phytoplankton as they grow and develop. Mature larvae seek solid benthic substrates, preferably oyster shells, to which they attach as they metamorphose to become sessile juvenile ovsters. Unlike fishes and other vertebrates, ovsters do not regulate the salt content of their tissues; instead, oyster tissue salt contents conform to the broad and variable range of salinities in oyster habitats. Thus, oyster parasites with narrow salinity requirements may be exposed to low environmental salinities when shed into environmental waters, as well as while infecting oysters in low-salinity waters. At death, an oyster's shell valves spring open passively, exposing its tissues to predators and scavengers. However, the resilient hinge ligament holds the articulated valves together for months after death. Vacant, articulated oyster shells (boxes) in our samples are interpreted to represent oysters that died during the previous year, and the numbers of dead and dying (gaper) oysters are compared to those of live oysters in dredge samples, to estimate proportions for natural mortalities in oyster samples and populations.

Dermo disease

Although the protozoan parasite that causes dermo disease is now known as *Perkinsus marinus*, it was first described as *Dermocystidium marinum* in Gulf of Mexico oysters (Mackin, Owen & Collier 1950), and its name was colloquially abbreviated then as 'dermo'. Almost immediately, dermo disease was also reported in Chesapeake Bay oysters (Mackin 1951). *Perkinsus marinus* is transmitted through the water to uninfected oysters in as few as three days, and such infections



Ciliated oyster stomach epithelium infected by clusters of proliferating *P. marinus* cells (<).

may prove fatal in as few as 18 days. Heavily infected oysters are emaciated; showing reduced growth and reproduction (Ray & Chandler 1955).

Although *P. marinus* survives low temperatures and low salinities, its proliferation is highest in the broad range of temperatures (15-35°C) and salinities (10-30 ‰) that are typical of Chesapeake Bay waters during oyster dermo disease mortality peaks (Dungan & Hamilton 1995). Over several years of drought during the 1980s, *P. marinus* expanded its Chesapeake Bay distribution into upstream areas where it had been previously rare or absent (Burreson & Ragone Calvo 1996). Since 1990, at least some oysters in 93-100% of all regularly tested Maryland populations have been infected. Annual mean prevalences for dermo disease have ranged at 38-94% of all tested oysters, with a 27-year average of 68%.

MSX disease

The high-salinity protozoan oyster pathogen *Haplosporidium nelsoni* was first detected and described as a *multinucleated sphere unknown* (MSX) from diseased and dying Delaware Bay



Oyster gill vein with large *Haplosporidium nelsoni* (MSX) multinucleate plasmodia (>) circulating with smaller hemocyte blood cells.

oysters during 1957 (Haskin et al. 1966), and it also infected oysters in lower Chesapeake Bay during 1959 (Andrews 1968). Although the common location of lightest *H. nelsoni* infections in oyster gill tissues suggests waterborne transmission of infectious pathogen cells, the complete life cycle and actual infection mechanism of the MSX parasite remain unknown.

Despite numerous experimental attempts, MSX disease has rarely been transmitted to uninfected oysters in laboratories. However, captive experimental oysters reared in enzootic waters above 14 ‰ salinity are frequently infected, and may die within 3-6 weeks. In Chesapeake Bay, MSX disease is most active in higher salinity waters with temperatures of 5-20°C (Ewart & Ford 1993). MSX disease prevalences typically peak during June, and deaths from such infections peak during August. In Maryland waters, annual average prevalences for MSX disease have ranged at 0.1-28%, with a 27-year mean of 6%.

Since MSX disease is rare in oysters from waters below 9 ‰ salinity, the distribution of *H. nelsoni* in Chesapeake Bay varies as salinities change with variable freshwater inflows. During a recent 1999-2002 drought, consistently low freshwater inflows raised salinities of Chesapeake Bay waters to foster upstream range expansions by MSX disease during each successive drought year (Tarnowski 2003). The geographic range for MSX disease also expanded widely during a recent 2009 epizootic. During 2003-2008 and 2010-2012, freshwater inflows near or above historic averages reduced salinities of upstream Chesapeake Bay waters to dramatically limit the geographic range and effects of MSX disease. During 2013-2015, the geographic range of MSX disease expanded further upstream each year, as its mean annual prevalence also increased during each successive year (Tarnowski 2016).

References

Andrews, J.D. 1968. Oyster mortality studies in Virginia VII. Review of epizootiology and origin of *Minchinia nelsoni*. Proc. Natl. Shellfish. Assn. 58:23-36.

- Burreson, E.M. and L.M. Ragone Calvo. 1996. Epizootiology of *Perkinsus marinus* disease in Chesapeake Bay, with emphasis on data since 1985. J. Shellfish Res. 15:17-34.
- Dungan, C.F. and R.M. Hamilton. 1995. Use of a tetrazolium-based cell proliferation assay to measure effects of in vitro conditions on *Perkinsus marinus* (Apicomplexa) proliferation. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 42:379-388.
- Ewart, J.W. and S.E. Ford. 1993. History and impact of MSX and dermo diseases on oyster stocks in the Northeast region. NRAC Fact Sheet No. 200, 8 pp. Univ. of Massachusetts, North Dartmouth, Ma.

- Haskin, H.H., L.A. Stauber, and J.G. Mackin. 1966. *Minchinia nelsoni* n. sp. (Haplosporida, Haplosporidiidae): causative agent of the Delaware Bay oyster epizootic. Science 153:1414-1416.
- Ray, S.M. and A.C. Chandler. 1955. Parasitological reviews: *Dermocystidium marinum*, a parasite of oysters. Exptl. Parasitol. 4:172-200.
- Mackin, J.G., H.M. Owen, and A. Collier. 1950. Preliminary note on the occurrence of a new protistan parasite, *Dermocystidium marinum* n. sp. in *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin). Science 111:328-329.44
- Mackin, J.G. 1951. Histopathology of infection of *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin) by *Dermocystidium marinum* Mackin, Owen, and Collier. Bull Mar. Sci. Gulf and Caribbean 1:72-87.
- Tarnowski, M. 2003. Maryland Oyster Population Status Report: 2002 Fall Survey. Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, MD. 32 pp. http://dnr.maryland.gov/fisheries/Pages/shellfish-monitoring/reports.aspx
- Tarnowski, M. 2016. Maryland Oyster Population Status Report: 2015 Fall Survey. Maryland Department of Natural Resources Publ. No. 17-5232016-823. Annapolis, MD. 55 pp. http://dnr.maryland.gov/fisheries/Pages/shellfish-monitoring/reports.aspx



Crew taking a break between sampling stations. 1-r: S. Schneider, C. Brumwell, M. Homer. (Photo: R. Bussell)

APPENDIX 2 GLOSSARY

box oyster	Pairs of empty shells joined together by their hinge ligaments. These remain articulated for months after the death of an oyster, providing a durable estimator of recent oyster mortality (see gaper). Recent boxes are those with no or little fouling or sedimentation inside the shells, generally considered to have died within the previous two to four weeks. Old boxes have heavier fouling or sedimentation inside the shells and the hinge ligament is generally weaker.			
bushel	Unit of volume used to measure oyster catches. The official Maryland bushel equal to 2,800.9 cu. in., or 1.0194 times the U.S. standard bushel (heaped) and 1.3025 times the U.S. standard bushel (level). (Return to Text)			
cultch	Hard substrate, such as oyster shells, spread on oyster grounds for the attachme of spat.			
dermo disease	The oyster disease caused by the protozoan pathogen Perkinsus marinus.			
dredged shell	Oyster shell dredged from buried ancient (3000+ years old) shell deposits. Since 1960 this shell has been the backbone of the Maryland shell planting efforts to produce seed oysters and restore oyster bars.			
fresh shell	Oyster shells from shucked oysters. It is used to supplement the dredged shell plantings.			
gaper	Dead or moribund oyster with gaping valves and tissue still present (see box oyster).			
Haplosporidium nelsoni	The protozoan oyster parasite that causes MSX disease.			
infection intensity, individual	<i>Perkinsus</i> sp. parasite burdens of individual oysters, estimated by RFTM assays and categorized on an eight-point scale. Uninfected oysters are ranked 0 heaviest infections are ranked 7, and intermediate-intensity infections are rank 1-6. Oysters with infection intensities of 5 or greater are predicted to die imminently.			
infection intensity, mean sample	 Averaged categorical infection intensity for all oysters in a sample: <i>sum of all categorical infection intensities</i> (0-7) ÷ <i>number of sample oysters</i> Oyster populations whose samples show mean infection intensities of 3.0 or greater are predicted to experience significant near-term mortalities. 			
infection intensity, annual	Average of mean intensities for annual survey samples from constant mean sites: sum of all sample mean intensities ÷ number of annual samples			
intensity index, sample	Categorical infection intensities averaged only for infected oysters: sum of individual infection intensities(1-7) ÷ number of infected oysters			

intensity index, annual	Categorical infection intensities averaged for all infected survey oysters: sum of all sample intensity indices ÷ number of annual samples
market oyster	An oyster measuring 3 inches or more from hinge to mouth (ventral margin).
mortality (observed), sample	Percent proportion of annual, natural oyster population mortality estimated by dividing the number of dead oysters (boxes and gapers) by the sum of live and dead oysters in a sample: 100 x [number of boxes and gapers ÷ (number of boxes and gapers + number of live)]
mortality (observed), annual	Percent proportion of annual, bay-wide, natural oyster mortality estimated by averaging population mortality estimates from the 43 Disease Bar (DB) samples collected during an annual survey: sum of sample mortality estimates ÷ 43 DB samples
MSX disease	The oyster disease caused by the protozoan pathogen Haplosporidium nelsoni.
MSX % frequency, annual	Percent proportion of sampled populations infected by <i>H. nelsoni</i> (MSX): 100 x (number of sample with MSX infections ÷ total sample number)
Perkinsus marinus	The protozoan oyster parasite that causes dermo disease.
prevalence, sample	Percent proportion of infected oysters in a sample: 100 x (number infected ÷ number examined)
prevalence, mean annual	Percent proportion of infected oysters in an annual survey: sum of sample percent prevalences ÷ number of samples
RFTM assay	Ray's fluid thioglycollate medium assay. Method for enlargement, detection, and enumeration of <i>Perkinsus marinus</i> cells in oyster tissue samples. This diagnostic assay for dermo disease has been widely used and refined for over sixty years to date.
seed oysters	Young oysters produced by planting shell as a substrate for oyster larvae to settle on in historically productive areas. If the spatfall is adequate, the seed oysters are subsequently transplanted to growout (seed planting) areas, generally during the following spring.
small oyster	An oyster equal to or greater than one year old but less than 3 inches (see market oyster, spat).
spat	Oysters younger than one year old.
spatfall, spatset, set	The process by which swimming oyster larvae attach to a hard substrate such as oyster shell. During this process the larvae undergo metamorphosis, adopting the adult form and habit.
spatfall intensity, sample site	The number of spat per bushel of cultch. This is a relative measure of oyster spat density at a specific location, which may be used to calculate the annual spatfall intensity index.

spatfall intensity index The arithmetic mean of spatfall intensities from 53 fixed reference sites or Key Bars: sum of Key Bar spatfall intensities ÷ number of Key Bars

(Return to Text)



The MDNR Research Vessel *Miss Kay* about to get underway on a tranquil autumn morning off the Potomac River. (*Photo: R. Bussell*)